



**California Health & Human Services Agency
Center for Data Insights and Innovation
Data Exchange Framework Stakeholder Advisory Group
Meeting 7 Public Comment (10:00AM – 12:30PM PT, April 7, 2022)**

The table below shows public comments that were made verbally during the April 7th meeting. Additional public comments can be found in the meeting’s “Q&A Log”, as well as in other documentation submitted and posted on the CalHHS Data Exchange Framework [website](#).

Count	Name	Comment
1	Jerry Hall, CivicMapping.com	Hi there! Thank you, everybody. Thank you, Chair, Secretary Gaily, CDII staff and Stakeholder Advisory Board Members. It's really great to hear you all and all the dedication you're making towards this effort. I believe there's some top priorities we need to keep front and center and that includes the personal, corporate and government access and level of use of data from multiple systems, and to the protections of individual rights and privacy by ensuring extreme control by external facing or potentially conflicted internal profit-first entities. How our data can be utilized to, to improve and dramatically reduce the impacts on our legal and health systems through more effective sharing policies. And last, but not least, high levels of consumer education, access, and control over one's data. Ideally we're focused not only on financial and institutional benefits in developing these systems, policies, and practices, but more importantly, how we can ensure the work we are doing attacks and decimates the systems, policies and practices that have often by design systematically harmed racial, cultural, gendered and financial status and geographic-oriented population. I want to amplify the recent comment and concern about including peers and stakeholders with lived experience on these boards and in this work. I also believe we need to invite those that might bring up concerns and issues that may conflict with other members' allegiances or loyalties to institutional bodies that are either heavily politically or financially influenced. Pushback from advocates oftentimes is a voice heard from many consumers out in our communities that aren't able to make their thoughts known. And finally, regarding recommending a governance structure, you could consider recommending a sunset period, perhaps in five years, where the entire structure is reviewed and evaluated by stakeholders in the hopes that an improved structure would be formed to better service all. Thank you very much.

Count	Name	Comment
2	John Helvey, SacValley MedShare	<p>Yes, thank you all for the hard work you're doing. This is complicated work in a very short timeframe and I really appreciate all the effort and attention that you guys are presenting here.</p> <p>And just with regards to regulation, it's not just looking at what aligns with 42 CFR Part II, but it's also talking about what in California, is above 42 CFR Part II, and is there an opportunity for those to be revisited. You know, things in the California Medical Information Act and sharing information with payers. There's some legacy legislation in there that doesn't really align with our current times and I think it's due the opportunity for review and edit or revision, if possible. So, thank you all for your hard work, I greatly appreciate it.</p>

Total Count of public comments: 2