California Health & Human Services Agency
Center for Data Insights and Innovation
Data Exchange Framework Implementation Advisory Committee
Meeting #10 Transcript (10:00 AM – 12:00 PM PT, October 10, 2023)

The following text is a transcript of the October 10, 2023 meeting of the California Health and Human Services Agency and Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework Implementation Advisory Committee (IAC). The transcript was produced using Zoom's transcription feature. It should be reviewed concurrently with the recording – which may be found on the CalHHS Data Exchange Framework website to ensure accuracy.

[Alice H - Events] 13:01:53

Hello and welcome. My name is Alice and I'll be in the background. To support with Zoom.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:00

If you experience technical difficulties, please type your question into the Q&A. Live close captioning will be available.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:05

Please click on the CC button to enable or disable.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:09

There are a few ways attendees may participate today.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:14

Members who are on site are encouraged to log in through their panelists link on Zoom and are asked to keep their laptops video, microphone, and audio off for the duration of the meeting.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:25

The rooms, cameras, and microphones will broadcast the video and audio through the meeting. Instructions for connecting to the conference rooms Wi-Fi are posted in the room.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:34

Please email Amanda Johnston. That is A MAND a.johnston@chhs.cov with any technical or logistical questions about onsite meeting participation.

[Alice H - Events] 13:02:54

Participants may submit written comments and questions for the Zoom Q&A box. All comments will be recorded and reviewed by CDI staff.

[Alice H - Events] 13:03:03

Participants may also submit comments and questions as well as requests to receive data exchange framework updates to CDI at chs.

[Alice H - Events] 13:03:15

Ca. Any questions that require timely follow-up should be sent to cdiii@chhs.ca.gov.

[Alice H - Events] 13:03:24

Members of the public and IIC members must raise their hand for Zoom facilitators to unmute them to share comments.

[Alice H - Events] 13:03:31

The chair will notify participants and members of appropriate time to volunteer feedback. If you were on site and logged in to Zoom.

[Alice H - Events] 13:03:40

Press raise hand in the reactions button on the screen or physically raise your hand. If selected to share your comment, please begin speaking and do not unmute your laptop.

[Alice H - Events] 13:03:50

The rooms microphones will broadcast audio. If you are on site and not using Zoom. Physically raise your hand and the chair will recognize you on it as your turn to speak.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:00

If you are off site and logged in to Zoom, press raise hand and the reactions button on the screen.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:06

If selected to share your comment, you will receive a request to unmute. Please ensure you accept before speaking.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:12

Finally, if you are off-site and dialed in via phone only. Press star 9 on your phone to raise your hand and listen for your phone number to be called.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:23

If selected to share your comment. Please ensure you are unmuted on your phone by pressing star 6.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:29

Public comment will be taken during the meeting at designated times and will be eliminated limited to the total amount of time allocated for public comment on particular issues.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:40

The chair will call on individuals in the order in which their hands were raised. Individuals will be given 2 min.

[Alice H - Events] 13:04:47

Please state your name and organizational affiliation when you begin. Participants are also encouraged to use the QA to ensure all feedback is captured or again you may email comments to CDII at CHs.

[Alice H - Events] 13:05:04

Ca. And with that, I'd like to introduce Dean Mcalan, Deputy Director Data Exchange Framework at the Center for Data Insights and Innovation.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:05:14

You, Alex, Selmcheck. I'm good.

[Alice H - Events] 13:05:19

Start and clear.

13:05:20

Great, thanks. Thank you for joining us today. I am sitting in for Johnny Hannion who was unable to attend today.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:05:28

So, by the power investment in John not being able to be available for these 2 h. He has been engaged though in knowing and engaged with the content we're presenting today and everything we're talking about and we'll download an opioids as well.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:05:46

Thank you for joining us. And welcome to today's meeting of the Cali J. Desk Data Exchange framework implementation advisory committee.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:05:54

During our time together today, we will discuss updates on the qualified health information exchange. Program, or organization program for the QHIO as we call it, updates on the data sharing agreement, signatory grants program and covering some of the policies and procedures that are in developed.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:06:14

We will have time at the end to take public comments and confirm yes yes. Then move on to roll call.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:06:24

Alright, so going through that you're able to let us know if you are here with us today.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:06:38

I mean.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:06:43

We're gonna trend like July's meeting. Joe Diaz.

[Joe Diaz] 13:06:48

Good morning.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:06:49

Thank you. David Ford. Yeah. Michelle.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:06:58

Aaron Gedol? Yeah, alright if I miss pronounced. Laurie Hack, present.

[Aaron Goodale] 13:07:01

Here.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:07

Cameron Prizer.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:07:09

Good morning.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:10

Morning. Troy is not here. He is out of the country right now.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:20

Next slide. Engine keeper.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:26

Paul, Ky.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:31

Lay equipment.

CalHHS

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:37

Matt?

[Matt Lege (SEIU He/Him)] 13:07:40

Here, thank you.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:42

Hi, thanks. Amy Miller.

[Amie Miller] 13:07:45

Present.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:47

Hi, Alibaba, over us. So. Jonathan Russell.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:07:50

Good morning.

[Jonathan Russell] 13:07:55

Good morning.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:07:56

No, Yeah, good morning person. Jim Willis.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:08:09

Right, not bad on the second half of the line though. Thank you. Speakers today so you'll be hearing my voice a lot.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:08:18

I am the calendar to be director overseeing the data exchange framework and our colleague, Catherine. He's not in the room today, but he's online with us and Cindy Barrow, senior advisor.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:08:28

From the net health strategies. There's other CDI staff and partners. With us today as well.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:08:36

Thank you to everyone joining us and for the speakers who will be covering. Next slide, please. Vision and meeting objectives, the vision for the Data Exchange, framework in California that we do still have newcomers coming to various meetings and things like that and we'd like to reinforce this with each meeting.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:08:56

Once implemented across California, the data exchange framework will create new connections and efficiency between health and social services providers.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:09:06

Improving whole person care. The data exchange framework is California's first ever statewide. Data sharing agreement that requires the secure and appropriate exchange.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:09:21

Of health and human services information to enable providers to work together and improve in individuals help and well.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:09:28

Next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:09:32

Our objective today is to provide an update on the qualified HIO program or QHIO qualified to help information.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:09:41

Exchange organizations. Provide an update on the data sharing agreement signatory grants program and review the status of policies and procedures under development.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:09:58

For ongoing reference, here is the data exchange framework implementation timeline. We'll be covering these dates in more detail through today's meeting.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:10:08

If anybody has any questions or comment about any of the content on the slide, if I move forward through the items too quickly.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:10:17

But we're all collectively working earnestly towards the January 30 first, 2,024 implementation date for many of the entities that are required to participate in the data exchange framework.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:10:31

And then there's others who have 2 years beyond that. And all of these dates, of course, well, not all of these days, some of these days, the program type dates like that.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:10:40

Programs and things like that or something to change or announcing a QHIOs. Slightly moving targets, but getting closer and tighter on any of these things as we would for January 30 first, 24.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:10:56

Let's move to the next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:10:59

So California Health and Safety Code 1 3, 0, 2, 9, 0, requires all mandatory signatories to execute the data sharing agreement.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:11:09

Referred to as DSA. Mandatory signatories should sign the DSA immediately if they have not done so already to support improved data exchange across the state as well as to be in compliance with the law.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:11:23

That is where the requirement on that previous slide. Timeline has January 30 first, 2023 was the date.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:11:29

That would that's in code you have signed if you're listed as a required signatory. The signing portal is live and it can be accessed using the URL on the screen and on our website where you can find additional resources.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:11:44

Just do not hesitate to reach out to CDI if you have questions about finding the data sharing. Next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:11:55

The signatory count. So this is a data list as of September, the 20 fifth. We do update this list every Monday.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:12:03

And so there's probably been 1 2 print since that and it overrides it each rolling week. There's over 1,200 signed DSAs and those DSAs include over 1,900 different subordinate and level entities.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:12:21

So this is cross walking to the entity types that are called out in the health and safety code 130290 where we're tracking a hundred 61 hospitals have signed more than 1,000 physician organizations and medical groups.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:12:38

285 field nursing facilities. 71 plans, 56 clinical labs. 52 entities have on there or so self the testing in the signing portal, even some type of county or county affiliation as their entity site and

then number of other over a hundred 70 that are community-based organizations, HIOs, intermediaries.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:13:07

Social services, we thank everybody who has signed the data sharing agreement to date and we look forward to this number growing.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:13:16

Let's move on to the next slide, please. And with that, I will pass for one of my brief, local respite here to Sydney from the to give an update on the QHI over.

[Cindy Bero] 13:13:29

Thank you, Dean. So in terms of the QHIO program, it was around the time that this committee last met that it was around the time that this committee last met that we published the QHIO program.

[Cindy Bero] 13:13:46

It was around the time that this committee last met that, we published the QHIO application, with a due date of September 20 first.

[Cindy Bero] 13:13:50

We're pleased to share with you if you didn't know already that 10 organizations replied and submitted applications by the deadline.

[Cindy Bero] 13:13:54

And TDI is now in the process of reviewing those applications. The applicants who do not meet the qualifications will be notified and have an opportunity to appeal that determination.

[Cindy Bero] 13:14:07

And those who do meet the qualifications, including those who have a successful appeal, will be notified by CDI that they have met the requirements.

[Cindy Bero] 13:14:18

Those notification processes are complete, there will be a public announcement made by CDI to let let everyone know who the QHIOs are for the program and we are looking forward to that announcement sometime in the in the coming weeks.

[Cindy Bero] 13:14:35

So a lot has happened in the, in terms of the forwarding the QHIO program over the last few weeks, what we're hoping to do today is to seek some input from the advisory committee on appeal topics that are part of our future roadmap and maybe we could go to the next slide to sort of outline what that roadmap looks like.

[Cindy Bero] 13:14:57

So as I outlined the application process well underway and we are looking forward to announcing QHIOs later this month.

[Cindy Bero] 13:15:08

That announcement will include as i said information coming out from cii will be incorporated into one of the information as power webinars and it will also go hand in hand with round 3 of the grant programs.

[Cindy Bero] 13:15:25

So including QHIO onboarding grants. So for those organizations who who may have been holding back a little bit waiting to hear who the QHAOs are before they apply for a grants.

[Cindy Bero] 13:15:38

We're hoping this round 3 will bring both of those work streams together and so folks will have a chance to take advantage of that.

[Cindy Bero] 13:15:46

Once those announcements are made and we have a group of QHIOs to be working with that group will come together and we'll start developing the work plan for 2024, which you know kicks off very early in the year with the start of exchange.

[Cindy Bero] 13:16:04

But I wanted to get your input on a couple of other items related to, 2024 and beyond.

[Cindy Bero] 13:16:15

And that's the primary discussion topic for today. Okay, go to the next slide.

[Cindy Bero] 13:16:19

So if you've looked at the application, you'll note that some of the questions Ask an applicant to commit to having certain capabilities in place.

[Cindy Bero] 13:16:31

For certain future dates. And in this chart shows you that for Jen, 30 first, which is the start of data exchange, applicants are being asked to commit that for criteria will be met by this time frame.

[Cindy Bero] 13:16:47

And these include some updates to their agreements if they're necessary. With their part, their clients and with third parties, make sure that they have the right level of insurance coverage in place for general liabilities and cyber insurance and also the ability to start processing some of the acute care, admission discharge and transfer messages.

[Cindy Bero] 13:17:12

So those are 4 commitments that an applicant is making that are required to be in place or readiness by January 30 first.

[Cindy Bero] 13:17:22

And you could see it below on this chart there are some other future dates that people are making, you know, organizations are making commitments to achieve.

[Cindy Bero] 13:17:31

So the question is, and we flip to the next slide, is how do we follow up on those commitments?

[Cindy Bero] 13:17:38

And so what I'd like to pose is that, you know, CDI will need to reach out in early February after the January 30 first deadline has been, you know, met or or has been passed to confirm with

each QHIO that they're that they met the time frames that that had been outlined in the application that they committed to.

[Cindy Bero] 13:18:04

And then as I mentioned, there's 4 subsequent, sorry, 3 subsequent 4 in total deadlines where their commitments had have to be made.

[Cindy Bero] 13:18:14

I think, you know, CDI will send something out that will ask for a reattestation that yes, the deadline was met or some validation that, you know, documentation that demonstrates the deadline was met.

[Cindy Bero] 13:18:27

The questions I have for today and looking for the advisory committee to advise is, you know, what happens if there's a qualified health information organization who fails to meet one of these commitments.

[Cindy Bero] 13:18:43

Do, you know, should they lose their qualified status? Should they be this subject to a corrective action plan?

[Cindy Bero] 13:18:50

And there's some more questions listed there for discussion, but let me pause and see if there's some initial thoughts or feedback.

[Cindy Bero] 13:18:56

On how we should address an organization that that did not achieve the commitment that they had made.

[Cindy Bero] 13:19:06

Camera.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:19:08

Hi, good morning. I mean, I think with the QHIOs we may get into a situation where we're dealing with too big to fail type groups, especially if they end up covering a large geographic area and essentially become a natural monopoly for anybody working there.

[Cindy Bero] 13:19:10

Okay.

[Cindy Bero] 13:19:24

Hmm.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:19:25

And with the, with the 10 on the list, I'm, you know, that's not a large number.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:19:30

And there may be some fallouts and I think that we're going to end up in that situation.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:19:34

Anyway. For that reason, because of the the background my personal feeling would be. That we should be trying to rehabilitate QHIOs as much as possible because there's going to be an awful lot writing on them if this happens to be successful.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:19:51

I do think though that there needs to be good downstream notification to participants who will be relying on this because we do need to know that there is an infrastructure problem and to the extent that an alternative QHIO that does meet the requirements is available then they should be encouraged.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:20:08

To the, in notifying all DXF participants, I think that might be useful early on to give people a good idea to health and system.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:20:16

But I think that we are looking at what is essentially a natural monopoly like any other kind of utility and we should probably be treating it that way.

[Cindy Bero] 13:20:27

Thank you. David?

[CalHHS CDII] 13:20:32

So first of all, let me apologize. Wi-fi in this room.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:20:38

Like my laptop. So I can't be on zoom. Cameron said actually a lot of what I think I was going to say, the notification to participants I think is essential especially because at the point we're talking about people may have grant funds that were tied to a particular QHIO.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:20:58

Then that really becomes a disaster scenario if That QH I/O were to work to have trouble. That being said, I think the answer to question number one.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:21:11

Is no, at least not initially. I would think there would, I would hope there would be a certain.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:21:21

6 months or so. For a corrective action plan for the QA to get back running because obviously the amount of work that's going to need to be done.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:21:29

We're going to need as many 2 HIs as possible. I'm kind of surprised there's only 10 applicants.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:21:34

I'm being honest. And I think that it's. It's challenging. To think about kicking people out of the program.

Unless the, unless the thing they filtered on is just catastrophic, right?

[CalHHS CDII] 13:21:52

If they really cannot exchange data, there may be like really catastrophic situations, but I think for the most part we should try to get them back up and running get the back of the program because that's the best outcome for everyone.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:22:04

Are you able to unmute the room for a moment? Yeah, it's on mute.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:22:08

Right. Thank you, David. Briefly, Laurie once I believe to respond to Cameron and I'm gonna throw in there and I I might cover it in the signatory grant program.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:22:24

We do have solutions in the grant program if an applicant selected. As a work plan with the. With a QHIO and organization who does not get a queue and we did not have that back in June, but we incorporated that you could you can still make the decision to go with that organization and move your funds from QHIO onboarding to TA.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:22:49

I'm gonna work with people on and many of the kids and When Cindy mentioned something about the queues being announced in the grant program, we had a huge uptake in round 2 for QHIO applicants.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:04

So that was really good to see. So we'll go to Laurie and then Felix.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:09

Thank you. I agree both with Cameron and David on this and I think similar to how health plans who We can't have them fail.

For a allowed effective action plan when they're non-compliant with financials or quality or so forth.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:28

There should definitely be a corrective action plan and I don't think you can prescribe the time.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:34

To allow them to meet a requirement. Because it just depends on the requirement. If you need to get.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:40

Your insurance improved. And You know, that's relatively easy. If you're trying to meet a technical requirement, like David was suggesting that is catastrophic.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:51

Then you might want to give them more time. So. So those would be my 2 recommendations.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:23:58

Definitely corrective action plan and, you know, time dependent on the issue. You. Thank you. And then we'll go to Felix and then I'll leave.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:24:12

Yeah, well, small rooms, I guess, there's a need for a diversity of viewpoints here.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:24:21

Well, I will say that the bottom 3 that the bottom 2, suggestions here about notification that that absolutely is critical.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:24:30

I don't think there's any daylight between. What others have said thus far and, you know, what we think should be the responsibility of CDI and at QIO that has built to meet their commitments to Notify stakeholders, especially signatories and clients of the services.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:24:48

However, I think, what we're talking about here fundamentally are a core set of requirements that were.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:24:56

Very consciously narrowed down and what became the final application. The application itself relies on ecstation and as we know, some select verification on the part of CDI.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:25:12

And it's also a and for some categories a a timeline, right? There's a whole roadmap for when an applicant is committed to actually Come on, line with some of the key capabilities if they can't do so immediately.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:25:29

So I think taking as a whole, that really puts an expectation and an onus on the Ohio to deliver to what they've attested to, to what they've had their you know, key leadership side off on, you know, in the application.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:25:45

I don't think there should be. A, allowance for the collective action plan. I don't think we're talking about something akin to a health plan failing because one.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:25:57

You know, there are other options and 2, you don't need to rely on a Q Ohio, if you ultimately choose to comply with the DSA.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:26:07

You can continue working with. In non-qualified intermediary or you can choose, other pennies.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:26:11

So, again, and the totality of that kind of consider considerations. And also again by virtue of the fact the application itself is very circumscribed and, and very self contained in terms of what it's looking for.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:26:26

This is really something where I think we should expect those who have applied and have been designated the HIOS to.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:26:33

To basically, you know, live up to those. Standards.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:26:39

Thank you. And then we have a link.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:26:44

Thank you. I think it's important to for CDI to consider, you know, the existing infrastructure that they exist and CDII relies on, the nonprofit.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:27:02

HIOs that have been operating responsibly in the last several years. And, and, and this DXF relies on that infrastructure to deliver the services and the promise of the DXF.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:27:17

So with respect to any you know issues related to qualification. You know, certainly a corrective action needs to be there.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:27:28

And, we definitely don't want to confuse the market. The providers about some of the minor issues, some of the things that can be fixed.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:27:39

And I really, I'm thinking that if, if an HIO becomes a qualified meaning in meeting those threshold the criteria and the thresholds.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:27:53

It's obviously, CDI needs to validate, you know, those will remain in place.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:28:03

But I'm just having a hard time to. You know, to understand what, the, you know, what could be the big issue that really and impacts the disqualifying it.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:28:20

You know, then one big problem in the HIA is not not big problem, but things that can keep us awake at night is a possibility of.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:28:31

Some sort of a breach which is which is really a big one. In this, in this industry.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:28:40

Other than that, you know, Most, I mean, we've been operating for a long, long time.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:28:47

And and meeting most of the qualifications or the requirements within the QHRU program. So I just leave it at that and I think the corrective action and given appropriate time.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:29:00

To put the QHOO to meet that again dxf is relying on that this current infrastructure And, and we appreciate the partnership with CDII.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:29:13

And in partnership working together in solving any issues. That may arise. Thank you.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:29:23

Thanks, Kelly. And then we'll go to Jonah and most likely move on to if Cindy has them next slide.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:29:31

Just for consideration, I, we probably can't contemplate all of the potential scenarios where, there might be.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:29:40

An issue where a QHIO does not need a specific requirement. But they're made. So we may want to leave it open in terms of.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:29:49

What types of issues could potentially. Result in a QHIO, using a status without a cap.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:29:58

So if there's I think that's really egregious. Perhaps to be some sort of not just a breach but like a very intentional deliberate.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:07

Bye. Are selling data, they shouldn't be there doing it. Or something of that nature that.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:15

If they're consciously doing something that violates the trust that we're trying to build here.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:20

They're maybe. Course of action where a cap just isn't necessary, but I think we need to be really careful about what those are and try not to.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:29

Say we're gonna just take one approach or the other. So there may be some scenarios where It's just, it's so, for that.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:36

Using the queue makes sense, but I think we really want an air on the side of being a little cautious because we have so many.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:47

And these that have been isn't of that magnitude, there is some opportunity to I just hope we can be a little cautious.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:30:55

. One Thank you. Alright, you have the floor, Cindy.

[Cindy Bero] 13:31:02

Great, thank you. So, this is great feedback and, as I outlined, this is the process by which we verify the commitments that were made in the application.

[Cindy Bero] 13:31:15

When we turn to the next page, similar set of questions, but it is really now, let's say we've gone through all of the commitments made during the application process.

[Cindy Bero] 13:31:26

This is just a an annual check-in if you will to see that the organization continues to maintain the standards and the the capabilities that were in the original application.

[Cindy Bero] 13:31:40

So again, first the first page was around those commitments, specific commitments and specific deadlines that were outlined in the application.

[Cindy Bero] 13:31:49

This is a broader question. Really about each year. Are you still, you know, following or consistent with all of the elements in the application.

[Cindy Bero] 13:32:02

And again, same set of questions. When should this process begin? Should we, you know, this is 2023?

[Cindy Bero] 13:32:11

Should we seek an annual, you know, recommitment, if you will, or re-at a station in 2024 or hold off till 2,025 and then again if someone said you know i no longer have that capability my organization doesn't do that anymore.

[Cindy Bero] 13:32:28

Do we do we go to, you know, a losing the queue standpoint, you know, and what do we again in offer the correction period in a time for people to restore their their capabilities to come back to the standards that we've set for QHIOs.

[Cindy Bero] 13:32:47

So I'll stop again and see if there's a. The same sentiment holds true that you answer to the first.

[Cindy Bero] 13:32:54

Set of questions around the application commitments to this, you know, broader ongoing and re-attestation to the to the standards that were set in the application process.

[Cindy Bero] 13:33:10

Cameron, first time firsthand last time and this time you're good at the Raising the hand button.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:33:16

I was always the winner in Quiz Bowl. I don't think these questions change it much for me.

[Cindy Bero] 13:33:18

Okay.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:33:24

I, 2024 is coming up quick. I'm thinking maybe 2,025 but for the rest of them I think it's still mostly the same issue if there's an issue, 2025, but for the rest of them, I think it's still mostly the same, the same issue.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:33:36

If there's an issue as for lack of a better expression, failing the annual attestation, we would probably be looking at the same basic process as well as notifying DXF participants and stakeholders who were involved.

[Cameron Kaiser] 13:33:50

I don't think this material would change as much for me.

[Cindy Bero] 13:33:52

Right.

[Cindy Bero] 13:33:58

Lori.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:34:04

Thanks. I think, I would say that for this, there be no need to do an annual attestation in 2024 if you're doing these multiple quarterly attestations already.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:34:23

I feel like there should be something in here though that says if a QHIO knowingly doesn't meet one of the criteria they need to notify CDI when that occurs.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:34:34

Not wait for CDII to find it out in an annual attestation. You know, from a compliance perspective.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:34:42

There should be that notification along with the corrective action plan that's followed. That otherwise I think, I agree with, and it's pretty much the same thing.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:34:54

Thank you. Ali.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:35:02

Yes, thank you. I agree with Laurie and Cameron. I think, and your lesson is, is appropriate, but 2 24 is too early to.

[Ali Modaressi] 13:35:11

To do that since we are already in the fourth quarter.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:35:22

Thanks, Rally.

[Cindy Bero] 13:35:22

David.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:35:26

Hey, I want to ask a couple of questions that are, I think, related to the ones on the screen.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:35:33

But just kind of thinking out loud. I think in the 2025 and then later years.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:35:41

I assume we would all expect that the standards for QHAOs may change. Right, we'll be bringing in different kinds of applicants.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:35:49

Lot more applicants. And we would have that scenario. So. I guess and I honestly did not pay a whole lot of attention to QA.

Tail application but is. There an expectation. I would assume there's an expectation when someone gets that queue that they will continue to upgrade.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:36:08

So there's been potentially that problem that someone can meet all the all those standards as they are today.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:36:15

But then if there's whatever, 2 28 standards. That they cannot meet. Right. We did spend a lot of time this summer trying to develop it for the next few years.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:36:32

So while they might change and it might be iterative like this, the foundation should not and we should build up on it and yes that would cascade down to anybody who is not going to be like your grandfather because your queue and the been developed a new standard.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:36:52

Or that we're seen. I just might not have known what it was. So, so we might.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:00

On that, no one knows. You may have like DAY, to be exchanged for, one of the policies.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:10

A new standard, that has to be implemented. That gets incorporated into next year's recommendations and there's some like.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:19

Time line for how with QHS have to implement it to maintain compliance.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:27

It's all months, definitely. Yes, and then. Sort of the related block is.

CalHHS

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:33

From the from the physician perspective, position signs up with someone who's a QHA of today and then 3, 4, years down the line or something not the QHIO.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:44

Beyond just notification, is there any expectation that someone will help them move to someone else?

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:53

I don't know.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:37:59

Anything else? Okay, thank you. And now, Felix. I just want to be consistent and say my comments about.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:38:07

You know, revoking a QIO status at the outset. If you don't mean the requirements should also apply to failing to meet it upon future attestations.

[Cindy Bero] 13:38:28

Okay. So with.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:38:28

Thank you. Okay.

[Cindy Bero] 13:38:32

Oh, it was gonna say at that point, I, you know, thank you all for your feedback and your input into this.

[Cindy Bero] 13:38:38

This is one of many elements of the QHIO program that we will be refining over the next several weeks and and but I wanted to turn things now back to Dean because I think we are done with the QHIO section.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:38:57

Thank you. Oh, and. Of course, you, we encourage jokes to put questions into the Q&A, the members of the IAC have access to the chat for responding or putting thoughts in to everyone as we move on on content and if but's come up about the QHIO program or timelines or things like that, feel free to throw them in there.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:39:25

We may not address them in these 2 h, but we will get to them at some point or another and we take a lot of your questions.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:39:32

That's feedback for things for us to be like, okay, that is something we need to work on.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:39:37

Next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:39:42

So, reminding everyone about the core goals of the data exchange framework, grants program to support that implementation of the that data exchange framework signatories in under resource geographies and or those who are serving historically marginalized populations in underserved communities.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:40:02

Addressing barriers to the data exchange framework implementation. And aligning it for us other programs, activities, ineligible for funding.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:40:16

That went actually the way I read that is a little slightly negative connotation where there's more finding the synergies and where we're.

Not duplicating spending but finding the opportunities. Of focus and strength across different departments and funding. And do you think that's going quite well?

[CalHHS CDII] 13:40:36

Next slide.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:40:39

So for from round. Round 2 awardees we have not yet publicly posted the list of signatories coming soon We awarded 8 points of over 8.6 million dollars to a hundred 20 more than 120 signatories to date.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:41:02

So this slide captures round one and then round 2 and this is where you see an increase in significant increase in the percentage of the QHIO applications in round 2 and that should really take off in round 3.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:41:17

It makes complete sense that people have been waiting for the round 3 grant window. In alignment with knowing who the QHIOs are.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:41:24

43 applications have already come in the door naming QHIO. So that's great.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:41:32

And the other ones are technical assistance, so pretty, okay numbers on that as well. And round 3 is scheduled to open October sixteenth.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:41:42

And the next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:41:47

As I just said, it's opening on October sixteenth. And round one was an expedited shorter round 4 weeks where it was focused on the required signatories and ideally those who must begin exchange in 2024.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:42:04

Round 2, we held for 2 months. It was open for July and August. And The round 3 is scheduled to open October, sixteenth.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:42:18

I don't have a hard closing deadline on that. But I would encourage folks to apply in October and November.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:42:25

They can. This, this is money that has, an end. So don't wait too long because we cannot guarantee that the funds will be there.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:42:36

Alrighty, next slide.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:42:39

So a reminder about the grant program. So we have the QH I/O onboarding domain and a technical assistance grant domain.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:42:49

So the QHIO is an assisted application. Channel and where the the what you're trying to do, your objectives.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:43:00

Or what you're doing with that grant is to Spend the funding to help offset QHIO onboarding costs.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:43:10

CalHHS
California Health & Human Services Agency

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

So we realize this may the funding may not cover the entire cost or future costs. And offset the signatory onboarding costs.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:43:20

At the QH I/O as well. Technical assistance grants there's a couple different things that you can use this funding for contracting with the technology vendor, the onboarding cost to implement to a technology solution that is establishes real-time data exchange, adjusting, upgrading, or adopting an EHR or creating and providing training or workflow processes.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:43:46

So it's fairly broad. You build your own HIV solution. The difference between where the funding goes is one of the big differences as well.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:43:54

So the QH I/O. Have an assistant pathway where Kay High is contracted and partnered with CdI to actually help you with your grants.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:44:06

Application they do, they do consult and meet with you one on one as the applicant and they do the leg work.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:44:13

You have to be the signer and engage that they'll do the work for you and the money goes to the QHIO that you have selected and so you don't have the management of the money but you have the benefit of the phones but your exchange capabilities.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:44:26

The technical assistance, you do your own application. And the money comes to you yourself. You're the.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:44:33

You're the master of that money. It comes in your door and how you spend the big space.

Let's go to the next slide, please.

And how much funding is available. We have published a process for determining the amount, the maximum amount of grant funding that you may request for instance of electronic record system, practice management system.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:45:07

There's a couple different entity types that are not our legacy clinical EHR users, but under the umbrella of the blowup chronic record record system.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:45:17

Signatory types that crosswalk to the types in helping safety code 1 3 or 2. Have the different baseline figures.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:45:22

Regardless of your characteristics, the total potential maximum for an umbrella application, which I believe I'm had a slice coming up on.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:45:31

Is $500,000. And we have more details on the funding amounts and instances and in hands funding if you get more of the metrics for safety net and serving the underserved.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:45:45

That is in our brand guidance documents. Next slide, please. Which of course we're, so more revising and updating for round 3.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:45:53

Okay, this is a reminder that signatures on the grant application. This is one of our checks and balances must match in organizations the signature on the data sharing agreement.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:46:06

So we have a couple of examples here. And Made up. Signature, Grace, CEO organization and FQHC consortia.

That's the DSA, and FQHC consortia. That's the DSA.

The grant application has the signature from Grace Jing and the same name of the organization. FQA, that's a check to go through the process and review.

Better and smoother. Signatories that do not match. So same example of the DSA signature, but the application, the rent application comes in under the name Oscar Garcia, chief of HIT and a member and listed as member of organization of FQHC.

As you can fathom, these would not match in an algorithm match or a macro match.

So then that means it gets into secondary levels that we do. The application. Brands connect application portal the signature page actually has an option at the bottom if the person signing is not the person who signed the DSA.

Please tell us why. And so it's not being used as much as we thought when there's a disconnect and it's because people are not just walking back to their data sharing agreement.

So this slide is intended to help folks with that and please reach out to ourselves or our third party administrator PCD or the K-high folks who are really skilled at making sure these details met, if you're not quite sure about what we're talking about.

33

Next slide, please. And umbrella applications. So we do encourage these. A number of stakeholders came to us requesting that this be an opportunity and a one of the ways that entities can submit.

So. DSA signatory may add the signing authority over different entity types. Or an applicant can be an organization flying, applying on behalf of one or multiple eligible signatories.

In my mind, I think of an umbrella applicant if it touches 2 different DSAs, 2 or more different DSAs is typically an umbrella applicant and it can be a third party.

It can be a corporate parent. It can be an independent practice association as an example of a third party.

And where our checks and balances are, is that umbrella application still must include signatures from all of the people who are listing so that somebody else is not going and submitting for grant findings and that's happy and the people who sign the BSA involved and signing off on that.

So I'll applaud for a minute. We have Lori with her hand raised and then if anybody has any other questions.

Happy to take those right now. You may have just answered my question, but I know with multiple organizations.

With multiple players in it. The DSA makes sense to sign by. Maybe the CEO, but grants are typically signed by brand applications, CFO, the grants.

Make or whatever. So. Well, really see the need to have to match. Yes, with applicant signature.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:49:45

Can we go back? If we're able to go back from the slide. It's a really good validation check and it has helped us to do some work.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:49:55

The cleaner are signed DSAs or the more accurate, the more they confirm to conform to the guidance that's in the signing portal under the help and the question and answers there.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:50:08

The better our future participant directory is going to be, which we'll hear about from R.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:50:16

So there's a lot of overlap here. But. In the example that Lori said of like a CEO signs the data sharing agreement but a league grant person or an IT person signs the application.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:50:30

This box that I mentioned at the bottom of the application. You can tell pretty quickly if the people that are filling out the application are aware of the DSA.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:50:39

It says, you know, CEO, Grace Jane signed this DFA. I'm acting on behalf of this stream.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:50:47

Like there's I've seen some of the submissions. Other ones might be like, Grace Jane retired.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:50:57

Our new CEO is this. So that helps us also to have some information we can circle back to the DSA and that type of stuff.

So. Alright, David. Yeah, 1, 1, one question. On the topic is we both in several.

Several large provider organizations that are planning to apply as umbrella applicants in round 3. The downstream benefit of that is that they are leaning heavily on their participating practices to sign the DSA so they can apply in their back.

So I think some of that uptick and I think we'll see more in the, the physician organizations is actually coming from groups like IPAs who are saying, you guys, we want to apply for money, but we need you to sign this so we can do it.

So, that's, that's been good to see actually. It's been helpful.

The question I wanted to ask, we've, we've heard from several, round 2.

Umbrella applicants. Apply for TA grants. Who are asking, for asking us, okay, so when does my money come?

And what the timeline is for that. So any any update I can give them. So round 2 awarding were notified on.

September 20 ninth September twenty-second. In the twenties I think in September. And so if you are a round 2 applicant and did not get a notification then Find our email, CdII at C.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:52:41

Ajs.ca. Dot GEOV send us an email or if And where is it?

[CalHHS CDII] 13:52:48

There was another or and so everybody should have been notified. We're working on and it was supposed It's supposed to be released today, the progress report because the technical assistance.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:53:01

Applicant may have hit milestone one. Perhaps depending upon going back to the resource, especially of the guidance document.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:53:10

And the the mechanism for getting the progress report to fill out. And submitting it is in the Grants Connect.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:53:22

Application portal, which then kind of becomes a brand for an award. And we would fill that out in PCG that they're party administrator.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:53:31

Channels, the reviews, and will release the funds, that type of thing. But if you're getting questions like that.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:53:38

Feel free, you can forward them to CDI, we will work with PCG. Where is over the next couple of weeks that will start to become very routine in common.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:53:52

Oh, great. I think that's, probably we can probably jump to maybe slide 32 and we have a lot of resources on the grant program.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:54:04

We Have them on this slide right here. The slide will be posted on Cdi's website in the interim before it's posted.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:54:13

You can go to our website and scroll down and find a dropdown menu for grant program and the resources are there as well.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:54:20

Alright, let's move on to next topic. Policies and procedures and I believe you get to still listen to me.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:54:29

Slide 33. This slide was the status of policies and procedures that are in development. But early exchange PNP.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:54:37

Has been finalized and is depending final release. Last month, DVI, the listed public comment on the 2 on 2 policies.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:54:50

Are in development, the privacy standards and security safeguards, which is an amendment to a previously finalized PNP and the requirement to exchange health and social services information.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:55:02

CVI is still working on reviewing the public comments that were submitted and we are that we received on that.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:55:10

Before we and incorporating those into the final copy of what will be published on our website. One of the side bars that I don't know if we have this in this 2 h anywhere is that we have adopted a new messaging consistency where every Tuesday, folks stakeholders who are on our CDI list there should be receiving a data exchange framework weekly update.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:55:39

You haven't seen that on a Tuesday over the last few weeks. Maybe it's setting a spam folder.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:55:44

Maybe you're not on the CDI, I list there. But that weekly cadence and leveraging from DHS is DHCS stakeover.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:55:54

Update that comes out on Friday's like this we really felt the value but that's where you will see when PMPs are finalized.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:56:04

It's a one place to go consistently. Will probably run parallel of some of our messaging that fills all your inboxes, but we're trying to migrate to a working system.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:56:14

So there's one place for you to go to find what the latest is. So let's see.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:56:18

The focus of discussion today will be on 2 policies and procedures that are in development. The data elements to be exchanged and which will be it would be an amendment and the participant direct directory PNP which is new and is under development.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:56:38

Let's go to the next slide.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:56:42

A reminder we have right now, Oh, this is the 2. So this is the, the, the 2 Pmp's I, already, go to.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:56:52

We received over 100 individual comments and this is what we're reviewing and we're considering the comments and updating the PMP as necessary.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:00

So you should see release of that. Hopefully of these 2. Amended PNPs coming soon.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:06

Next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:08

And then a roadmap. So this is what CDI is working on across the board with all of the.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:16

PNPs. So we're reviewing all previously public published PNPs to ensure consistency.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:22

Across all of the PMBs at somewhere developed by the July 2022 deadline and then others came after that.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:33

So now it's and. Very, very, short pause to walk across those to see if there was any inconsistencies.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:42

And in that time, we also built the philosophy of defined terms and spend a lot of time vetting those definitions.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:47

Now we're going back to the PEAS to make sure they're updated in the right places.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:57:53

And consistently address concerns that are raised by stakeholders since publication of any finalized materials and assess needs to clarify expectations to support ongoing DXF implementation.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:58:05

There'll be 2 ways for public comment so Some Pmp's will be a minute.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:58:13

CBI will propose administrative changes only and that is where we will issue a call for public input. And it provides an opportunity to raise objections to having to categorize the changes as administrative.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:58:29

And then the other one is the more traditional, what we're gonna see later on about the data elements being exchanged.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:58:38

That's more sensitive and talking about amendments and then it goes to the. That many of us, Let's go to the next slide, please.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:58:52

Alright, and right now we do have a September and October public input period on the individual access services PNP.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:59:03

This window is our first window of the public input for, weighing in to say, I disagree that this is an administrative, purpose.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:59:14

We have an online form. Hopefully it's, it's, straightforward and easy to use.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:59:20

If it isn't, give us feedback, please. It is posted on our website. Objections to this PNP will be allow us to submit objections is open until October 20 third.

And so, please make sure, have a look out for that. If you have not seen that, you have another 13 days.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:59:39

And I think with that I get to take a pause and turn it over to REM to go into the next 2 pm these data elements to be exchanged.

[CalHHS CDII] 13:59:48

And the newly developing participant directory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 13:59:55

Thank you, Dean. Hopefully you can hear me all right. Sorry not to be there with folks in Sacramento today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:00:03

Gonna be talking about 2 PNPs that are currently under development. Today mostly is informational.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:00:12

Both of them have been discussed extensively at the DSA and PNP subcommittee meeting, just a few weeks ago.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:00:20

The DSA and PNP subcommittee meeting, just a few weeks ago. The first is on the data elements to be exchanged just a few weeks ago. The first is on the data elements to be exchanged just as a reminder.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:00:47

This PNP was published in July of 2022 and then later in 2022 amended administratively.

CalHHS
California Health & Human Services Agency

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:00:54

There were some specific questions that, participants, have been asking about this PNP that we brought to the subcommittee, a couple of weeks ago, for, discussion, and, potential, amendments, and, that's, what, i, wanted, to, discuss, quickly, today exchange with other participants the standards that must be used to represent that data and the formats formats that must be

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:01:09

used when exchanging that data. The substantive changes that we are considering here, do not include section one.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:01:21

So the data elements participants, must make available are not included. I do want to come back and revisit that for just a second before we close out on this PNP.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:01:31

Let's go on to the next. Oh, excuse me. There are also administrative changes that may accompany this PNP.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:01:41

Folks are aware that we're also, administrative changes that may accompany this PNP.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:01:46

Folks are aware that we published the glossary of defined terms. This PNP was first published nearly a year and a half ago.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:01:49

There been many terms that have been defined since then and so some of these administrative changes are largely to bring the PNP into alignment with the language used in other PNPs and with the Glossary of defined terms.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:02:03

Let's go on to next slide and we'll go quickly through the discussion that we had with the DSA subcommittee.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:02:11

We had a good robust discussion a little over a half an hour on this PNP at that meeting.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:02:16

The first potential addition is in section 2, section 2 of the PNP calls out. The,

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:02:29

The formats of that data must be that must be used in exchanging data that is found in the first section.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:02:41

And the proposed amendment would establish a precedence for the data element. Terminology and data format standards.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:02:52

The issue that was brought before us is that as you recall, for example, health care providers must exchange EHI, including all of the data elements in the USCDI, V 2.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:03:08

And then the formats for that data included HL 7 V 2 messages and CDA, which do not have the capabilities of representing all of the data elements in USCDI v 2.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:03:23

So the question here is how do you reconcile those differences and the proposal is that you exchange all of the data elements that are in section one to the extent that they are supported by the standard in section 2.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:03:42

The feedback from the subcommittee was in strong support for this edition. It clarifies the requirements participants using HL 7 V 2 and CDA are 2 standards both very common and common use today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:03:58

And there really aren't very many gaps in those standards. Given the requirements of, for example, US, CDI, B 2, also just to be clear, a fire can still be used and is an acceptable standard that does support all of the elements in V 2.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:04:19

So in summary, the DSA subcommittee strongly supported this amendment to the data elements to be exchanged.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:04:28

Let's go on to the next slide. The next slide. Up considers 2 separate amendments, that would allow an organization to still be in compliance with this PNP at least for some period of time.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:04:45

When it used legacy formats. Data standards, etc, in point to point connections. The and a good example of this is that if a lab is currently exchanging data with a participant.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:05:03

And is using proprietary lab codes instead of the lab codes that are. Specified for example in USCDIV 2.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:05:13

And they are doing that through a flat file exchange. Rather than using HL 7 V 2 messaging, CDA or fire, should they be allowed to continue to do that and be in compliance, with the data exchange framework.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:05:29

There was a robust discussion about this at the DSA subcommittee, but there was really generally, strong, comments against making this edition it was pointed out that The fact that these.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:05:47

Interfaces exist they are unlikely to simply be turned off on a February one so data will continue to move but any extension that we give organizations to continue to be out of compliance with national standards.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:06:03

Is simply going to delay upgrading any of these interfaces to more robust interoperability standards and therefore we should retain the current language.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:06:15

So we are not proposing to make this change as a result of the robust discussion at the DSA subcommittee.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:06:25

And then if we go on to the third the next slide the third issue that we brought up with. The DSA subcommittee was that the, current language.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:06:41

In the data elements to be exchanged requires that plans exchange claims, but claims are not well

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:06:53

The standards that are mentioned within. the policy and procedure. Instead, common administrative standards are not supported, commonly through interoperability standards, are not supported, commonly through interoperability standards that are being proposed by ONC.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:07:12

Don't, mix well with HL 7 B 2 messaging or CDA standards. The DSA subcommittee was against any addition.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:07:26

Specifically to call out standards using some other standard or terminology. They noted that first of all, those organizations are currently using X.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:07:37

12 standards can continue to do so. There is nothing in the, the, DSA or its policies and procedures, or prohibits that, and fire R for remains a viable standard, but most of the valuable elements in a claim can actually be represented in the data elements in HL 7 B 2 messages and CDA messages and we're better off if we retain those as the standards to promote.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:08:07

So at this time we're also not proposing any addition for claims. The next steps and we'll touch on this again in a little bit.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:08:18

The next step for this PNP is to put it out for public comment. That may go out for public comment as early as next week.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:08:27

And will remain for on public comment for some period of time. What is proposed there would be the first amendment that I spoke to but without any provision for legacy interfaces or for claims.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:08:44

And would also continue would also represent administrative changes to the PNP. The final comment that I will make is that we also anticipate that the version out for public comment will have, some, provisions for the recently signed AB 352 in it as well.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:09:06

Let me pause there for a second and see if there are any comments or questions on this PNP.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:09:21

Thanks Felix for your comment in the chat.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:09:28

If there aren't any questions, let's go on to the next slide, please.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:09:33

The next PNP that we also discussed at the DSA subcommittee was on the participant directory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:09:40

In this case, this is a new PNP that is under development. At the DSA subcommittee meeting and a couple weeks ago we did not review specific language.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:09:50

Proposed for the PNP what we did do though is touch on several questions to get input from the stakeholder community through the DSA subcommittee.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:10:02

This PNP will come back to the DSA subcommittee at the end of this month and people are.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:10:10

Certainly welcome to join us for that discussion as well just as a reminder health and safety code 1 30 to 90 does make provisions for any organization to exchange data or make data available through any health information exchange health information organization or technology that appears to specify standards and policies.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:10:36

Now what we expect is that many participants will use intermediaries to exchange health and social services information that may be a nationwide network or framework maybe an intermediary such as qualified HIO, an HIO that does not seek to be a qualified HIO or some other vendor and organizations may choose to use their own, technology and, create point to point connection.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:11:03

So the question that the participant directory is intended to answer is how does one participant No, the choices that another participant has made and how they will make health information, health and services information available to or exchange that information.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:11:22

And just as a reminder, we've talked about this many times. This is not a provider directory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:11:30

It is not about individuals. Their office hours or availability take new patients. This is all about participants on the data exchange framework and the choices that they're making to help facilitate exchange among them.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:11:44

Let's go on to the next slide, please. Now we've talked about the participant directory and our approach to it several times at the IC meetings.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:11:57

We'll get into a lot more detail here about some of the decisions that need to be made for the the policy and procedure but I just again want to remind people that what we're trying to do is create a PNP.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:12:06

That establishes requirements both for CDII and for participants and first to create a participant directory and then on participants to maintain information in that directory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:12:20

It would allow for instance participants to declare their choices in which health information exchange network, health information organization or technology they're choosing to use to make information available and allow participants to discover the choices of other parts to facilitate exchange.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:12:40

And as we talked about, we at our last meeting and having several meetings prior to that as we anticipate a phased approach here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:12:47

So the PNP will align with phase one, which is to create a way to input and access those choices.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:13:00

Rely on detailed information from authoritative sources such as networks frameworks or QHIOs, but just record the important information about choices and to focus on organizations and facilities in future phases.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:13:18

We may add information on individuals. So that really means that in the DSA subcommittee meeting we concentrated on 3 questions.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:13:27

Which participants must enter their choices? Why information about their choices must be entered. And how are those choices to be made available to other participants?

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:13:41

And I want to emphasize here, we're not talking about health or social services information, we're only talking about choices that organizations are making and how they're going to share that information.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:13:52

So let's go on to the next slide and this starts to get into the questions that we discussed.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:13:57

At the DSA subcommittee. The first set of questions really revolved around Who should be entering their choices?

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:14:06

Should only participants that are not in intermediaries be required to enter their choices. Those are the organizations that, are creating, the data in are likely.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:14:19

Targets for the responses, should intermediaries also be required to enter data about themselves and should participants be allowed to delegate information.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:14:32

To other organizations rather than enter it themselves. I said there was a robust discussion and what we heard from the data the DSA subcommittee was that participants should be authoritative for their own choices.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:14:47

That means that they should be empowered to put in their own information, but that we may consider allowing participants to delegate entering their choices to an intermediary and we'll revisit this in just a second.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:15:00

But the discussion there was the concern that some may participants may not know, understand or have the bandwidth to manage their own directory details.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:15:12

And that would be the reason to delegate. It's also a strong feeling that all participants should be entering their information whether they are participant that creates or consumes data or if they are an intermediary.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:15:27

Let me pause. I'm gonna pause after each one of these questions to see if there any particular questions or comments.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:15:38

I see no hands. So let's move on. Oh, Ali, yes.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:15:46

Can you, explain how where does this kind of reside is this gonna be a centralized repository who is gonna be managing it.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:15:58

That's an excellent question. And so the PNP would establish, the responsibilities of CDI for, the participant directory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:16:10

What we anticipate at this time is it is a directory that CDI would manage and then it would probably be associated with the DSA signing portal, at least in phase one.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:16:23

Thank you.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:16:27

And, those types of requirements you would you should expect to see in the draft language for the PNP that we anticipate coming before this DSA subcommittee at the end of this month.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:16:42

Let's go on to the next slide. So the next question was about what information should be entered.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:16:50

And you can imagine a very detailed set of information including URLs and certificates. Of specific endpoints.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:16:58

And there was again a rich discussion at the DSA subcommittee about this question. So really what directory information about choices should participant, directory require participants to enter and how can we rely on participants to know the information associated with the directory and how QHIOs and networks are working.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:17:23

There was a strong feeling, a long discussion, this, this PNP was discussed at the DSA subcommittee meeting for about an hour and much of the discussion centered around this question.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:17:37

While most participants may choose a nationwide network or framework or QHI or another intermediary. There was a strong feeling that they must be allowed to specify their choice of a point to point, connection as well.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:17:53

So we're not talking about them only putting in networks, frameworks, QHIOs or other intermediaries, but they must be able to specify their own technology.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:18:07

Recognizing that that may be a burden on that organization to create a large number of point to point connections.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:18:16

There was general agreement that participants must be allowed to choose different entities for different types of exchange, for example, a health system may choose to answer requests for information query response requests on a nationwide network may choose a specific vendor when providing notifications of ADTs and may use an HIO or other service to receive information from orders or referrals.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:18:54

So we want to make sure that they're able to make different choices. We discussed whether it would be necessary for organizations to specify different entities for different required purposes.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:19:09

You'll recall that the permitted required and prohibited purposes. PMP calls out that treatment. Many types of health care operations, payment and public health activities are required under the data exchange framework.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:19:26

CalHHS
California Health & Human Services Agency

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

There was general feeling that it was not necessary to specify the purposes for each exchange as different entities although there was some discussion about a potential a wish to do that.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:19:40

We agreed that participants should not include technical details of the connection. First of all, some of the networks and frameworks prohibit sharing information about their technical connections but that again we want to remain dependent upon those frameworks networks, QHIOs, HIOs and intermediaries that are authoritative for that detailed information for it.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:20:06

Rather than contain it within the participant directory and that there was not a need to reflect it there.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:20:15

I said before that we would talk about delegation and we revisited the topic of delegation when we were visited the topic of delegation when we were talking about the information here and generally felt that delegation should not be necessary given that fundamentally what we're asking everybody to do is choose.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:20:35

Which organization you're using for requests for information. Information delivery and notifications of admissions and discharges and that every organization should be able to answer those 3 questions.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:20:52

And if they need help, they can ask for help from the intermediary they're using and how to answer those questions appropriately but still be responsible for answering them.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:21:05

Let me pause there for a second because this is a very big topic. And you'll see a great deal of language in the PNP when it comes out associated with this topic.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:21:22

I don't see any hands. Let's move on to the next slide. David, yes.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:21:30

And I'm sorry, I was out of room for a moment, so I possible you mentioned this and I just missed it.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:21:38

But, what is the expectation for participants to update information? Directories like this that they get out of date the moment they're public.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:21:46

That's an excellent question and no I didn't talk about that before. We talked about that roughly generally at the DSA subcommittee meeting that there was a strong need to keep this information updated.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:21:59

And since the responsibility lies on participants to enter this information, the responsibility to update that information needed to lie on with them as well.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:22:12

Now what that really means is that you would need to do an update anytime you found that what you dinnered.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:22:18

Was incorrect or when you've made a change that you've decided to move your a connection for instance from a nationwide network to a QHIO or vice versa.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:22:31

It would be a relatively major change. So it's probably a relatively infrequent event, but your point is well taken and it is one of the items that will appear in the draft language of the PNP is what the requirements are for timely updates to information that's found to be an error or has changed.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:22:52

CalHHS
California Health & Human Services Agency

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

So that's not a very good answer to your question. I think if you have thoughts about what the requirements there should be, feel free to share them.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:23:01

Okay. Yeah, just in terms of response. This goes back to the question I would like to station QH.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:23:01

Jonah, I see your hand up. Sorry.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:23:12

Should there be an ongoing requirement about updating and having the validity and the help validation process for participants who are your Customers, it does sound like that's appropriate.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:23:21

That would deal with. Fairly large segment of our overall participants that I think that at least should be. On the plate, Great.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:23:36

Thanks, Jonah.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:23:36

I think it's something like the medical board where yes, since theory for this and they're supposed to go on to update their their their data periodically.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:23:46

You know that doesn't happen so periodically the medical board also pushes information out. It says like hey have you checked your information is all just still correct.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:23:54

And there may be a need to do that as well, right? Not just for the QHA, you're saying.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:24:08

Yeah. It's like, we'll try to do exchange and I'll hit a dead.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:24:12

Unexpecting it or the wrong number or, So, We have some of the vision.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:24:18

And changes. Alright, so let's go, Lee, Felix, and then Andrew.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:24:26

Yeah, my comments are similar to what Joanna just said. Is this a validation process for the DSA signatories that they are meeting those requirements.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:24:35

And, yeah, and I have a follow up question, but go ahead. It's

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:24:40

Okay. So. There is no validation that is ongoing here. This is a responsibility for participants to enter the choices that they're making for how they.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:24:53

Prefer to provide access to or exchange information but as Jonah and Deanne both pointed out that if for instance Ollie if I claim to use lanes as my intermediary and I do not have an agreement with you.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:25:12

I have not contracted with your organization and you don't support my organization. If a query is received by you targeting me, that query won't be answered and therefore there is a way for organizations to find out and for us to discover that there is inaccurate information in the directory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:25:33

The important thing here is that inaccurate information is highly unlikely to lead to inappropriate disclosure. It is more likely to lead to an inability to obtain the information requested or deliver the information intended.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:25:53

Thanks.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:25:53

Felix, I see your hand up. Sorry.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:25:57

Yeah. Thanks for him. One thing only. Ali had said he had 2 questions I think you.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:26:03

Oh, sorry.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:26:07

Yeah, my, my, follow up question was, with regard to, the bullet, here generally participants need to specify different entities for different required purposes.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:26:21

And I'm thinking of ADT notifications from, let's say, hospitals. That, that it may go to a, you know, they make, you know, and health system may choose the national networks.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:26:35

For you know for one purpose and then another network for ADT. Is that, am I, am I correct?

[Ali Modaressi] 14:26:45

Stating that. Okay.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:26:45

Right. So the second bullet is trying to address that point that I As a participant, I must be allowed to specify different choices for query, for push.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:26:59

And for, notifications of admissions and discharges. The third bullet is saying that there wasn't a feeling that I needed to be able to.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:27:10

Specify different entities for queries for treatment purposes versus queries for public health purposes.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:27:19

And that there wasn't a need to do that. The queries needed to be directed at particular entity, but all of the purposes for use need not be specified separately.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:27:31

Any, talks on, ADT is going to a and to an organization and that may not reach the primary care providers.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:27:47

I know, they're meeting their requirements, but you know, the primary care providers are not necessary.

[Ali Modaressi] 14:27:55

Even those notifications.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:27:57

So, yes.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:27:58

I'm gonna read. Redeflect this one for a little bit because it's getting more into the technical as opposed to the participant, but it's the connecting the who the how and those are for another discussion sorry Ali.

CalHHS
California Health & Human Services Agency

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:28:15

Alright. And I was going to agree with that. We have policies and procedures to address those things. Ollie, that won't be handled in this one.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:28:17

Go ahead.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:28:25

And then Felix is playing around. Or like, I'll save it. Okay, so Andrew and then Phillips and he wants.

[] 14:28:33

Yep.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:28:33

Thank you.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:28:34

Thank you. I appreciate it and I'll be quick here recognizing our time constraints. I would just say agree with the comments that there needs to be an affirmative obligation.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:28:44

On their participants to update their information in real time. And they should have an obligation with whom they have a, a relationship with to change a information to affirmatively communicate with them as well.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:28:56

I just look for contact too, the way that this has now been constructed that. You essentially get to choose the medium through which you facilitate exchange.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:29:07

It is, resulting in The decision on how 2 parties. Our complying with the requirements of the of the data sharing agreement is functionally result of contract negotiations.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:29:24

So just I wanted to sort of lift it up. Directory is a good idea, needs to be updated.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:29:30

But the practical implement the implications of this is that it is literally a one off. Contract by contract process to facilitate the exchange, which is As you can imagine, so the statewide health plan like Blue Shield.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:29:42

In every single zip code in the state of California with providers. Vax provider network that's a very daunting task realizing we have a 1 31, 24 compliance state.

[Andrew Kiefer] 14:29:55

So. Appreciate the work on this. Just wanted to again lift that up as a real. Functional challenge with the direction we've taken here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:30:05

Thank you for your comments. There. And I would note that at least you get some efficiency of scale and using a nationwide network or framework, a QHI or an intermediary that may be able to reach many participating organizations, but you're absolutely correct here is that listing your choices here is not a substitute for the BAAs and other contract agreements.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:30:30

That you must have in place with those organizations. Felix, did you still have a question or should we move on?

[CalHHS CDII] 14:30:37

Thank you.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:30:39

Let's go on to the next slide then. The, Next question that we had, posed to the DSA subcommittee is, who should be able to access the participant directory.

Given that this is a directory of the participants that assigned the DSA, that's already information that is available and information about the choices that they're making and how they share information.

In in particular should CDI simply publish this information. Or do organizations need to be, participants in order to access it and should they be prohibited for from sharing that information with non participants.

There was some concern that access to the information the participant directory by non participants may pose a security risk that was voiced at the DSA subcommittee.

However, much of the information, as I said, is already made public and comprises only business choices and business information and discussions with some of the DSA subcommittee members after that meeting.

Have reinforced that it is probably not information that needs to be considered a security risk if it is disclosed publicly.

So this will come up again at the DSA subcommittee meeting at the end of the month in the the language that's there but it is I believe that what we primarily heard is that there wasn't a significant concern about security primarily heard is that there wasn't a significant concern about security if this information is, a significant concern about security if this information is, disclosed publicly.

You'll know, for instance, that if you look at any of the 3 nationwide networks or framework, you'll know, for instance, that if you look at any of the 3 nationwide networks or are disclosed publicly.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:32:42

You'll know, for instance, that if you look at any of the 3 nationwide networks or frameworks, say I'll publish the organizations that participate with them today. And many of the HIOs in California publish a list of their participants as well.

[Cameron Kaiser] 14:32:54

Yeah, I would think this would be accessible to a public records request too anyway, wouldn't it?

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:32:54

And CDII publishes a list of their Cameron, I see your hand up.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:32:59

It most likely would be and that is a serious consideration in what information we collect here because it is likely subject to the Public Records Act.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:33:14

So yes, thank you for pointing that out. And Felix, I'm sorry, go ahead.

[Cameron Kaiser] 14:33:15

I mean, Yeah, I was just gonna I was just gonna finish up and say if it is then folks can get it anyway and, you know.

[Cameron Kaiser] 14:33:24

As far as preventing people from using it for obnoxious purposes like marketing, while the folks would most likely do it are probably not bound by any agreement anyway.

[Cameron Kaiser] 14:33:33

So I don't. I don't see how restricting it would help.

CalHHS

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:33:36

Thank you, Cameron. Appreciate that. Felix, I see your hand up.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:33:41

Yeah. On, on the concern or the issue security, RAM, I think, you know, publishing directory in and of of itself isn't really the key Vulnerability risk that I think we've been channeling to to you and others.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:33:59

It really is fundamentally authenticating the identity. And the credentials of a requester, right? I mean, there's kind of a 2 layer process.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:34:10

One, you want to obviously make sure that whoever is requesting data. Under the auspices of the DSA has actually signed the framework and the agreement.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:34:19

But second and and even more fundamentally, you want some type of digital certification process, right? That the requester whether or not they represent themselves to be a signatory, the DSA, and even if they are on that DSA.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:34:34

Next Excel spreadsheet of signatories that they actually you know, our who, they claim to be.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:34:42

And I think Ali had asked the question, is there any kind of validation process that's going to be built into the directory.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:34:49

The answer at this point seems to be no. So is the plan basically to leave it up to? In intermediaries or direct participants themselves to choose weather and how to I identity proof, if you will, the, the request coming in.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:35:10

And so this is a it's it's a valid question it has been raised in a couple of different forums and I think it is something that we need to address.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:35:21

We probably don't have time today to get into the weeds on this Felix. So you're going to get an answer for me today that's probably unsatisfactory, but we need to revisit.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:35:30

The answer to your question is yes, we're going to be relying on the trust frameworks that nationwide networks and frameworks for the QHIOs have already put in place.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:35:42

For instance, if I am a participant on e health exchange, I've gone through a participant on e-health exchange, I've gone through a robust process with that organization to prove who I am when a robust process with that organization to prove who I am when a certificate is issued.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:35:55

You likewise, process with that organization to prove who I am when a certificate is issued. You likewise go through a process when a certificate is issued.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:36:05

You likewise go through a process when organizations contract with, your HIO, assign the participation agreement, BAA, etc, before those connections are made.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:36:10

So we are relying on, the, the technical. Structures within the intermediaries to establish the technical components of trust at this time.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:36:23

And I'm sure that this will continue to be a topic of discussion that we'll have to continue to rely on.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:36:30

And Rim, this topic is on the, I think it's October 30 first subcommittee and there will be a published P and P for public comment.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:36:42

So those 2 things are coming. Probably not fast enough, but they're coming.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:36:47

Yes, thank you. I don't see any other hands up. Let's go on to the next slide.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:36:55

Just a couple other things to note along with the information that we've talked to today, the PNP may also require participants to indicate whether they're choosing to exercise their option to delay exchanging until 2026 is if they are allowed to do so under a health and safety code and indications of other indicators.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:37:19

Of activity. Active status on the data exchange framework such as whether a voluntary organization has terminated the DSA or an organization has ceased operations.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:37:33

So those types of indicators are likely to be included in the participant directory as well. Final comment that I will make here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:37:43

Is that the next steps here is we're drafting language. Based on our discussions at the DSA subcommittee, we will touch again on this topic at the upcoming DSA subcommittee meeting and then we'll move as quickly as we can onto public comment and, finalizing, the DSA.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:38:03

Excuse me, the PNP.

CalHHS

CENTER FOR
DATA INSIGHTS
AND INNOVATION
CALIFORNIA HEALTH &
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 14:38:05

With that, I know we're running a little behind. Dean, I think I'm turning things back over to you.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:38:13

Thank you, Ryan. Thank you, everybody, for your feedback. That's it's an important topic.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:38:18

And next slide. So please note that the timeline on this slide for finalization and release of PNP this contingent on feedback received and associated follow up actions that might actually, yeah, that is just like, so we are working on these things and we covered all of this to some degree over the last Thank you.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:38:41

Next slide. I apologize to the public or 8 min late but in the ballpark and I was able to give a little shout out in case anybody was anxious about the timing.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:38:51

Of that. So at this time, individuals in the public audience who have a comment may insert it in the Q&A or otherwise physically raise your hand if on site and not using Zoom.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:39:05

You may raise your hand using the zoom teleconference options. And you will be called on in the order in which your hand was raised.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:39:13

Please state your name and organization affiliation and please keep comments respectful and So we will first I will be recognizing anyone who is on site who.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:39:24

Has their hand physically raised.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:39:28

Alright, has no hands physically raised and I will look to an ad in that events team to recognize individuals with their hands raised on this on Zoom.

[Alice H - Events] 14:39:39

There are currently no hands raised.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:39:43

We'll pause for a minute because I jumped into public comment quickly. To give folks a moment if they're trying wrestling with their cell phone and trying to find out how the unmute I don't remember how to do that on zoom.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:39:56

On my phone. And if anybody other hands raised and I'll check with. Alice in 5 s.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:40:06

Or 32, one.

[Alice H - Events] 14:40:11

No hands may stop this time.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:40:14

Thank you. And we do encourage folks to send us emails if they have, and we do have a hand raise so let's go for it David Ford in the room.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:40:25

Yeah, I'm sorry. I was I was, waiting to actually give the opportunity to remember the public since I'm a member of this committee, but since they chose not to take this opportunity, I will.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:40:35

I just wanted to make the comment that I find it surprising that we continue not to discuss governance.

We saw, 2 attempts in the legislature to create a government structure this year. And the only thing they have in common is they both went down.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:40:52

And it would seem that this time, and you know, now that the governor has completed his work at, Signing or in our details And before the beginning of the next legislative session would seem a good time to have this conversation.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:41:06

And with all of the influential organizations represented both at this table and then in the public listening in to build some consensus that might make the legislative path a little bit easier for governments when we begin the 2024 session.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:41:21

And yet we haven't done so. So I would just throw that out. I think we do have a couple more meetings before the website session begins again.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:41:31

Do we have that as a topic? Going to ask do you have any suggestions or like want that as an agenda item you're highly recommending that as an agenda item on the next And we could start with what was already.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:41:49

Presented in the legislature and just have some of that discussion for how to flush out a little, a few issues that would make.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:41:52

That process easier when we come in. 24. Yeah. And I appreciate the part in your comment where you said, work together with this group, the informed stakeholders, people who have been engaged.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:42:06

To try to be able to drive things forward on governance. Good input from both school. Are very well.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:42:19

Into all of that. So thank you. Exactly. That is.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:42:25

Yeah, that's a topic. And then. Process for 1331 and So just like wide.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:42:37

That legislation, that issues and bring recommendations, I think that would be. Helpful as opposed to I think

[CalHHS CDII] 14:42:45

You know, agency and staff have. So, restrictions about how they can talk about that open legislation.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:42:54

So I think it's more like. Hearing from you all about words. Some of the road blocks on it.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:01

Oh, and, but that's also. Part of my point is that right now is not active legislation.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:08

The trailer though language is not active. Right, so at this moment. We know that's what I have to talk about in terms, right?

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:16

Cause we, yes, I understood we couldn't talk about it when it was active. Right now it's not.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:18

So we could bring the topic back up, right? Of governance and without having to put it in the context of 1331.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:32

I just wanna fly the AB 1 3 3 one is a 2 year old now.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:38

Yes.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:47

Thank you. For bringing that up.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:43:50

Anybody else? Let's see, where are we? No other public comments, right? Alice?

[Alice H - Events] 14:44:00

We do have a hand raised at this time.

[Alice H - Events] 14:44:06

She, Kron, and you should now be able to

[CalHHS CDII] 14:44:07

Bye.

[gcronin] 14:44:10

Hi, this is Greg Crennan from Western Health Advantage. My question was if there's gonna be 10 or less QHI OS.

[gcronin] 14:44:19

And 1,900 signatories. Then and if a lot of them go to those 10 or less QHOs aren't they gonna get backed up and not gonna meet the deadline.

[gcronin] 14:44:33

For January 30 first.

[gcronin] 14:44:38

I know you probably can't answer that but it just seems likely that that could happen.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:44:44

Thank you for your comment. Some of the details is There we do expect other cohorts and other rounds of QH.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:44:54

I/O coming on board, not by January 30 first, but that was one comment. This is DN, by the way, for any anybody.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:03

That I did not insert earlier during the QHIO conversation is this is the first of probably future cohorts of applicants.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:15

And somebody else recently asked, are we building, the volume of traffic that goes on the 405 in the 2 lane road.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:23

I'm mindful of that. That's what you bring up essentially. Greg, thank you for your comment and.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:27

There are other means of exchange as well. There's other intermediaries. There's the point to point that really, 4 or 5 a night out more than it is.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:39

Type of thing, but there's definitely other mechanisms and hopefully. We build and grow the state's infrastructure on exchange.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:49

It's not, Yeah, do we want the one to one or the 5?

[CalHHS CDII] 14:45:58

Where's that?

[CalHHS CDII] 14:46:03

Alright, and thank you. And a couple of comments are coming in, chatting Q&A, validate like in support of other public comments.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:46:11

Thank you for those. Alrighty, let's move on. That's the quote of public comment.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:46:17

Thank you everybody for learning for daring with us while that was slightly delayed today. Let's go to the next steps.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:46:23

Moving to the next slide. So after today, and we will take all of your helpful input into consideration.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:46:31

Share the summary notes from this meeting and prepare the materials for our next meeting together. And the sharing of the summary notes from this meeting is when we post them on our website.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:46:45

We will continue to advance the policies and procedures in the QHIO materials in development. And we're applicable, I encourage you to stay in touch and send CdI any additional feedback on the topics covered during today's meeting or request for future meetings topics.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:47:05

Next slide, please. A few near-term dates that have in mind. So the ISD meetings, we have 2 more meetings this calendar year.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:47:14

By the way, at that state today was one of my favorite IAC meetings because it was the tenth meeting on the tenth day of the tenth month.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:47:23

So, 10 tonight or something. Iac meeting number 11 and 12 are scheduled for November sixteenth and December nineteenth.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:47:33

We have the policy and procedure subcommittee meeting scheduled for October 30 first with everybody needs a meeting. On Halloween.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:47:41

And December fifth. So. The meeting dates to have on your radar if you don't have them on your calendar now, please pencil them in yourselves and you'll get the invite if you're a committee member.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:47:54

Member or I will be posted on our website for how to access. Next slide, please. Please also join us for our next information as our webinar on October, the sixteenth.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:48:08

So just under a week from now. That should have a registration link live on our website. And then we have 2 others scheduled for the rest of this year, November seventh and December thirteenth.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:48:21

And more information on our programs. We will also be holding a Rance round 3 webinar on October 20 fourth.

I don't think there's a slide to that but we'll see it in a second.

But October 20 fourth from at 9 am that may or may not be on our website and look for the exciting Tuesday and weekly update probably not in today's publication but by next week I would think that the registration link for that October, 24, 9 am.

Grants. Program webinar for around 3. If you're interested in a QHIO onboarding, DRAM, application, you can be contacting K high today.

To at least schedule your your one on one consult for the preliminary you can be doing some activities you don't need to wait 6 days for the round to open even though that 6 days is coming soon.

And I think that is everything that we have covered in our slides. Is that the last slide?

Alright, we go from running slightly light to it's looking like giving everybody back about 10 min of time.

It's looking like giving everybody back about 10 min of time. Thank you for participating and joining today.

Thanks to the folks who were able to and we look forward to good participation and attendance at the subcommittee meeting on October 30 first.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:49:52

Thank you, Rim and Cindy for presenting this afternoon and any of the questions that we might not have been able to answer today.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:50:00

No, that we will see them and we will work towards incorporating those questions as feedback. And maybe I didn't have an answer or didn't have time to go look at it.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:50:09

That would do. Those and make sure we have seen them after the meeting. Everybody's time. Thank you and have a good rest of the day.

[CalHHS CDII] 14:50:19

Alright, thank you