



**California Health & Human Services Agency
Center for Data Insights and Innovation
Data Exchange Framework Implementation Advisory Committee
Data Sharing Agreement Policies and Procedures Subcommittee
Meeting 14 Q&A Log (1:30PM – 3:30PM PT, April 10, 2024)**

The following table shows comments that were entered into the Zoom Q&A by public attendees during the April 10 meeting:

Count	Name	Comment	Response(s)¹
1	Lucy Johns	Priority to 2024: Patient/consumer opt-out. EFF mentioned this last meeting (or some meeting). Yet to hear commitment to this task in 2024. Thank you.	Thank you for keeping this on our radar!
2	Lucy Johns	Is there a list of actual measures that provide insight into whether Principles are being realized? I would think such a list needs to be developed. That's how we'll know what's being measured, not just what's hoped for.	CDII in concert with Manatt and advisory committees are developing. Slide 22 has examples that are more detailed than current slides
3	Kayte Fisher, CDI	The California Department of Insurance is strongly interested in making sure that consumers receive notification of cyberattacks when their data has been, or is suspected to have been, compromised. Consumers have an absolute right to know when their data has been breached. Consumers have been given very little autonomy or choice in this DxF endeavor, the	Thank you for your comment.

Count	Name	Comment	Response(s) ¹
		least they deserve is notice of their data being compromised.	
4	Carly Roman, Archstone Foundation	Going way back to the signatory count - is the denominator of SNFs (1,198) all SNFs or the SNFs with electronic records who are eligible?	I believe it is all SNFs (per CA Open Data Portal). We have been doing some industry analysis on stats of how many SNFs "maintain electronic records" (words in HSC130290). Range has been that 60-90 of SNFs have electronic records.
5	Dan Chavez	What State HHS cyber resources are available to be shared?	Presently, we are unaware but will look into this.
6	Dan Chavez	To Dr. Lane's point - when do we anticipate that Counties will be required signatories??	Presently, counties are encouraged per HSC130290. Though I reported only 15 counties as having signed, engagement with DxF is high. Many also seem to consider themselves with 2026 exchange in their vision.
7	Lucy Johns	What would help individuals choosing to opt out would be: that DxF requires that providers have such a policy. Indv saying to doctor "opt me out" will get a blank look. My doctor has *no idea* how this would happen!	<i>Live answered.</i>
8	Dan Chavez	The opting out guidance does not cover non-DxF providers and should IMHO.	<i>Live answered.</i>

Count	Name	Comment	Response(s) ¹
9	Dan Chavez	QHIOs can only be responsible for their own QHIO networks, correct? A single QHIO cannot be responsible for the entire DxF, correct? I believe individuals want to be able to opt-out of the entire DxF with a single transaction.	Agree that the question often asked of CDII has been how one can opt-out of the entire DxF. No, there is no blanket opt-out under DxF.
10	Kayte Fisher, CDI	I have my hand raised.	We will be open for public comment soon and you can make your comment then.
11	Mel Soliz	Arizona legislates an opt out structure for HIE. You might find that useful in understanding opt out approaches at the HIO level. See ATS 36-3803, https://www.azleg.gov/viewdocument/?docName=https://www.azleg.gov/ars/36/03803.htm	Thanks for your suggestion.
12	Lucy Johns	Thank you Kayte for this real-life story!! Asking providers or even plans not to share via DxF is futile sans state requirements that will flow down to patient-facing persons!	Thanks for your comment.
13	Dan Chavez	Will CDII review its current position in addressing the requirements of AB 352? AB 352 IMHO has broad implications to the roll out and success of the DxF.	<i>Live answered.</i>

Total Count of Zoom Q&A comments: 13