



**California Health & Human Services Agency  
Center for Data Insights and Innovation  
Data Exchange Framework Technical Advisory Subcommittee (TASC) Meeting  
Transcript (1:00 PM – 2:00 PM PT, September 4, 2024)**

The following text is a transcript of the September 4, 2024 meeting of the California Health and Human Services Agency and Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework TASC. The transcript was produced using Zoom’s transcription feature. It should be reviewed concurrently with the recording – which may be found on the [CalHHS Data Exchange Framework webpage](#) to ensure accuracy.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:00:25

Hello and welcome. My name is Alice and I'll be in the background answering any Zoom technical questions.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:00:31

If you experience difficulties during this session, please type your question into the Q&A. Individuals in the public audience who have a comment may insert it in the Zoom Q&A.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:00:41

Public comment will also be taken toward the end of the meeting. Live close captioning will be available.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:00:47

Please click on the CC button to enable or disable. With that, I'd like to introduce Room Kaufman.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:00:54

Thank you, Alice. And welcome members of the task and members of the public to our last in a series.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:02

Of task meetings talking about, ADT event notifications.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:10

I am going to suggest that members of the task turn the cameras on as we always do. It's nice to see people's faces during the discussion here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:20

Alice also let me know that she's been seeing some odd behavior in Zoom just to let you know that if you spend a lot of time over in.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:29

Over in chat that it may throw you out. It's a good thing for people to talk about their their thoughts rather than chat anyways.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:38

I'd really encourage people to Feel free to raise your hands and come off mute and talk about things rather than spend a lot of time in the chat.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:49

But just very quickly. We have here the vision for the data exchange in California, our current topic is really to talk to.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:01:59

I talk about a portion of that exchange around the notifications of admissions and discharges. It's an area of DXF for raising the bar a bit above where some of the nationwide networks are today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:17

Just real quickly, we have a very brief agenda. We'll go through some welcome and roll call. We're in the middle of that now.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:23

We will spend the bulk of our time talking about recommendations for event notifications. Today is our last meeting.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:31

In this series means that our goal for today is to finalize recommendations for CDI. We will plan on public comment about 10 min before the hour.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:41

And then we'll talk very briefly about next steps and anything closing, at the end of the meeting.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:50

So we'll go through roll call real quickly. I'm Rem Katherine, I'm here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:55

Cindy, I think I saw you out there.

[Cindy Bero] 17:02:56

Yes, I'm here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:02:57

Hans. Thanks, Hans.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:02:59

Good afternoon.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:03:03

Cassie's here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:04

Thank you, Sarah.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:09

Don't hear from Sarah Mohit drop me and email that something has come up and he's not going to be able to make it today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:16

For shant.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:21

Didn't hear from Prchant John.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:25

John's on mute but waving his hand. Thank you, John. Kimberly.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:35

Don't hear from Kimberly, Michael.

[Michael Marchant] 17:03:37

Present.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:38

Thank you, Michael. Cameron.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:44

I wasn't expecting Cameron to be able to join us today, but, for our next series on social services.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:53

Chris.

[Chris Muir] 17:03:53

I'm here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:03:54



Thank you, Chris.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:00

Didn't hear from Ken. I thought I saw Ken. Good. Thanks, Ken.

[Ken Riomales] 17:04:02

I'm here. Sorry about that.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:05

Jeff.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:10

Good to hear from Jess.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:18

Good. Didn't hear Joe.

[Joe Sullivan] 17:04:23

Yes, I'm here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:24

Thanks, Joe. And Brian.

[Brian Thomas] 17:04:27

Here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:28

Thanks. Brian. Got a pretty good group today. Thank you very much for attending.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:33

Just real quickly for members of the public public comment will be taken during the meeting. Approximately at the time it's still on the agenda so that should be about 10 min before the hour.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:46

Members of the public may also use Zoom's Q&A feature to ask questions or make comments during the meeting.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:51

And, members of the task, I know that sometimes you watch the QA, you're welcome to do that.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:04:59

And if you see anything there that you want to lift up as part of your own comments, please feel free to do so.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:05:04

And members of the public can also email their questions or comments to. The email address shown here that is DXF.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:05:13

At [chhs.ca.gov](http://chhs.ca.gov).

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:05:22

Real quickly, what you see here is some quick notes about what we believed we heard in our last meeting.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:05:30

We had a robust discussion in our last meeting. I'll pause here for a second and see if anyone has anything that they.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:05:39

Think we captured incorrectly or something they thought was really important that we did not capture here.

[John Helvey] 17:05:49

This is John Helvey given our QHIO meeting this morning. One of the things that we discussed as a technical barrier.

[John Helvey] 17:06:01

Is the

[John Helvey] 17:06:06

The differentiation. Of DSA signatory. And what we can and can't send to them.

[John Helvey] 17:06:17

And tracking DSS signatories as it relates to ADTs. Both incoming ADTs.

[John Helvey] 17:06:25

And what we do with distributing those from other QHIOs or other intermediaries. For DSA participants versus non DSA participants.

[John Helvey] 17:06:36

Who are also members of ours. And going out.

[John Helvey] 17:06:42

Who we can and cannot share ADTs out. Based on who has and who has its side.

[John Helvey] 17:06:51

The DSA.

[Michael Marchant] 17:06:57

I don't know that we specifically talked about that in the last meeting, but I do know that one of the points that I brought up is just understanding the role of the participants and what they are or are not allowed to access.

[Michael Marchant] 17:07:10

So I, I think the what was brought up is kind of in line with something similar. Cause John, I know you have lots of different angles on this based on the business, so.

[Michael Marchant] 17:07:24

But I know, cause you were asking specifically about what was in the last meeting versus what might be missing.

[Michael Marchant] 17:07:29

So were you wanting to comment on the last meeting or the what was missing part first? st Cause I think he addressed both.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:07:34

I'm interested. I'm interested in discussion that will move this topic forward. So I do want to make sure that if anybody heard anything that we didn't that we didn't capture here in our last meeting.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:07:47

I do wanna make sure that we do that, but. This is a meeting for discussion, so.

[Michael Marchant] 17:07:53

Yeah, so to that point, I do think that we talked early, I recall talking about making sure that part of the participants.

[Michael Marchant] 17:08:01

Of any of this information is understanding what their role is or what their access rights are or what.

[Michael Marchant] 17:08:07

What they should have data. What data they should be getting. I think John touched on that.



[Michael Marchant] 17:08:14

So I don't see that specifically here, but, maybe I, my reading is fundamental at the moment.

[Michael Marchant] 17:08:20

So, But I think that we need to highlight that is that the participants role signatory or not and what specifically they should or should not have access to with respect to an HL 7 v 2 ADT.

[Michael Marchant] 17:08:34

It's definitely something we need to address as part of this.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:08:37

Thank you, Michael.

[John Helvey] 17:08:40

I also think that intermediaries play into this and I think the agency of power should be some level of discussion because those agencies of power and have not necessarily signed the DSA, nor are they a voluntary signatory.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:02

And I think it is worth.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:06

Pausing on that for just a second. So we are talking about event notifications on the DXF.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:16

And there are policies and procedures that bind the behavior of signatories that are participating on the DXF.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:26

But there are other exchange surfaces that happen in California and I think that's at least partially what John's point is.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:35

That may happen outside of, the DXF as well. So I think it is worthwhile for us to remember that that is just a fact.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:50

With that, I'm gonna go ahead and move this along, please. Again, I'm reminder today's objective.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:09:56

Is to make recommendations to CDI to improve event notification strategy under the DXF. And that that might include new requirements.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:10:06

For technical infrastructure might require it might include technical delivery or consent content standards and it might include roles of various participants as I think John and Michael have both raised.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:10:26

So one of the things that I thought I'd at least use, to help frame our discussion today is that we did send out a very quick survey to all of the task participants.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:10:38

Last week and we tried to capture responses to those and we've compiled them people members of the task should have seen those come out in the slides I think late yesterday if you haven't had a chance to view them we're going to put them up here today as well.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:10:54

But it really broke down to I think it was 11 separate questions that we asked across 4 major topics. Recognizing what the current DXF requirements are, how might we change things and gave some some examples of those.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:11:12

For members of the public you can review the slide here as soon as things are posted on our website and that should happen shortly.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:11:23

For members of the task will revisit these and all of the future slides. What, I thought I'd do is for each of the group of questions.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:11:35

We just show you what the results were. And we'll start off with some of the areas where there seem to be relative consensus across the responses that we got.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:11:44

And just to level set here, anybody here can do the simple math to see that we did get 7 responses.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:11:52

That's about half of the task members actually weighed in. With responses to the survey here and our 1st question is how.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:12:04

Should. Notifications, how should participants that wish to be notified of admissions and discharges.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:12:13

Be identify. That they need to receive them and for which patients and we gave 4 separate choices here send notifications found on rosters that is the current approach and the DXFs and notifications to participants identified by the patient.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:12:36

I'll just note that that I believe. Is how the CMS rule reads. SIN notifications near an address associated with the patient.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:12:47

So that is how PCDH. Operates by sending based on zip code of a home address.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:12:54

Or through some other mechanism to identify participants that have a care or pay a relationship. That, you know, might be some dynamic method.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:13:07

And what we received if I were to make a broad conclusion here is that All 4 of these should be listed as one option.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:13:19

But that we shouldn't require only one single option that it is best to use a combination of multiple options and all of these are except acceptable.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:13:31

Interestingly, the closest to being a departure from that was probably the 3rd option to associate notifications with where a patient lives.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:13:42

I guess my question to you, is this a surprising result? Does this kind of agree with where you thought the discussion had been does this kind of agree with something that you would consider appropriate and it says something that you think appropriate to recommend to CDI.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:14:01

Any thoughts on that?

[Michael Marchant] 17:14:03

I think the idea here is that I think everybody is on board for being flexible. With the process for participation.

[Michael Marchant] 17:14:12

I think the piece that we are answering here and I apologize. I haven't had chance to look at the slides beforehand, but the question is, where are the rosters or where is this information kept?

[Michael Marchant] 17:14:22

That as much as we wanna provide options for how the how we submit or how we send notifications. It's the part of part of the question is where are the rosters or where the information about what gets sent to whom.

[Michael Marchant] 17:14:36

Where is that information available and then how is it made available to participants that are sharing their notifications. Or passing on those notifications so as much as I think we want to be flexible here we also probably need to answer one other question about that tracking process that isn't here and if it's not any other another question then we probably before we recommend something to CI, we probably want to at least either address that or put that

[Michael Marchant] 17:15:02

as a caveat is that we're not suggesting how the rosters, how that the education process would happen, but we wanted to be flexible. So.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:15:08

So architecture is the last slide among the questions here because Michael, quite frankly, that's the one where there wasn't consensus.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:15:17

So if that is going to help answer this question, make sure we bring it up again then.

[Michael Marchant] 17:15:21

Will do.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:15:22

Any other thoughts on this slide? Yes, Hans.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:26

To your question, any surprise? No, based on the discussion, no surprise and I think it reflects.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:15:34

Reality where we're at that we need to be flexible. Agree with Michael sir questions and we'll come back to that but yeah not surprised here and make sense.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:15:43

Okay. I really, I do not intend to call anybody out on today's meeting. However, I always find it interesting when people say, please don't use this one.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:15:54

Is there anybody that answer, do not use this method that wants to talk about why that is a bad choice?

[Michael Marchant] 17:16:02

So I was one of the do not use for the near patients home And part of that is, being unsure of what that disclosure looks like and is it appropriate.

[Michael Marchant] 17:16:12

So if I'm disclosing to an organization near a patient's address and there's no care relationship, it feels like it might be in violation of.

[Michael Marchant] 17:16:19

HIPAA in my perspective and so that that was that was where my head was when I said do not use on the.

[Michael Marchant] 17:16:25

Sentification of near patients address.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:16:27

Okay, thanks Michael and I will say just for benefit of everybody here, I did reach out to the folks that PCDH asking about that question about How does PCDH address the fact that they're making disclosures based on a zip code with no other information available.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:16:44

I didn't get much of an answer to really share any clarification. And I apologize for that.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:16:50

Hands, Hans, I see your hand up.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:16:52

Yeah, just reacting to, Michael, Michael's, comment and I completely agree that, just sending it to, organizations in the zip code or whatever geo location that, is.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:17:06

That in and of itself without any further information. Would be a challenge. But if we look at the patient central home, I believe it goes through the HIV.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:17:18

So they have awareness of who actually, providers are in that area. So it's not just anybody and is those that have a care relationship.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:17:26

So PCDH has a, mechanism for that. And if you look at other networks and national networks where they're doing discovery of patients where, where are their record locations, where there's a firm and this indication that yes, there are records, there is a relationship.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:17:42

So I think like the other comment, it's more flashing out. But I would agree is that it should not just be a, because you are within that 2 min from the patient, you're gonna get it because you might have.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:17:53

Relationship. I think that would not be appropriate to do.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:17:55

Okay, so thank you for that. So what I'm hearing from Michael and from Hans on 2 separate aspects here is it this.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:18:05

These recommendations can't be completely divorced from the architecture discussion that we'll have later on. During this hour.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:18:12

John, I see your hand up.

[John Helvey] 17:18:15

Yeah, just, you know, the big thing is the participant slash intermediaries were all signatories to the DSA.

[John Helvey] 17:18:26

So I would agree with Michael and Hans from address perspective, but I think from a QHIO perspective and a PCDH perspective, I think there's opportunities.

[John Helvey] 17:18:36

For us to be in regions that we don't necessarily overlap and it's much easier and much less of a lift, so to speak, for us to make sure that people that providers that have a need to know about their patient make sure that they have access to that so again not saying that that's the only way but not close it down into a box.

[John Helvey] 17:19:02

So that, we can't serve our consumers. How they need to be served.

[John Helvey] 17:19:07

So. But open for definitely. More discussion about that one.

[John Helvey] 17:19:14

But that was my thought.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:19:16



So John to go ahead and put words into your mouth is what I'm hearing here is that that option might be most appropriate if QHIOs or something like a QH.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:19:29

Is an integral part of the architecture that involves that option.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:19:34

And I'm seeing a nod on your head there. So thank you.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:19:41

If there isn't anything else on this slide, let's go on to the next question. This was another one where there seemed to be a fair amount of consensus.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:19:52

We asked, should we specify how events are sent from a hospital. ED, or optionally from a sniff.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:20:01

And should we specify. How notifications are sent to a participant. And what we heard here is again, we should specify at least one standard to keep options open.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:20:15

That is not what the DXF has done so far. There are no mandatory standards there.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:20:23

It is all optional standards but what I'm hearing here is that there's pretty much consensus that what we're doing now not specifying is the wrong thing to do.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:20:39

Nobody agreed with that. But that we should most likely specify at least one standard keeping options open with a few believing that we should specify a single standard that must be used.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:20:49

Again, is this, is this surprising? What are your thoughts here and in particular the people that thought we should specify a single standard or anybody in general is there our preference about what that standard should be either one that should be supported or one that must be used by everyone.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:21:18

So I'll ask a more point. Is HL 7 v 2 messages what we should be requiring.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:21:27

As a minimum as one standard to use or perhaps the only standard to use for events? Is that the right one?

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:21:35

And our direct messages, what we should specify as a supported standard or perhaps the only standard for notifications.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:21:44

Those are the 2 examples here. They're not necessarily the best ones, but they were the 2 examples.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:21:49

Chris, I saw your hand go up first.st

[Chris Muir] 17:21:51

Yeah, I was just gonna say that I agree with both of those. I think if we're gonna specify.

[Chris Muir] 17:21:57

Those are probably the 2 to specify.

[Chris Muir] 17:22:01

You know, the HL 7 of the 2.5 point 1 message and direct secure.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:22:09

Thanks, Chris. Michael, you have your hand up.

[Michael Marchant] 17:22:12

Yeah, so I don't think that V 2 should be the only standard. And I think that part of the, I think it should be a defined standard and maybe it's the preferred method.

[Michael Marchant] 17:22:22

But I think there's too many evolving standards, especially as we look at fire and and other things that are going to be written to TEFCA.

[Michael Marchant] 17:22:30

To say that we have a single standard and it's b 2 messaging. I think it's not flexible enough to baby look at some of the use cases we're doing.

[Michael Marchant] 17:22:37

I do think that the transport mechanism supporting direct is probably the best one today. But again, what I don't want to do is put ourselves in a box and say there's an evolving standard or, PUP, you know, PUPs up around fire transactions start to work better or more supported or there's bulk or there's other ways to do this and so as much as it would be nice to tell

[Michael Marchant] 17:22:59

people what to do. I think we have a preferred standard with some flexibility. Or at least a guide that says these are the evolving standards and will the the process will support those moving forward but I would hate to just say it's v 2 5 for notifications and we're stuck there as a single standard.

[Michael Marchant] 17:23:18

So.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:23:18

Thanks, Michael. Hans, I see your hand up. I want to just, also acknowledge I saw flash by in chat that can agree with what Michael was saying can feel free to raise your hand if you'd like to expand on that. Hans, please go ahead.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:23:34

Yeah, I would agree you said landing on one standard now. That's too narrow. There is a lot of evolution going on right now.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:23:43

But given that, version 205 one, ADT messages are widely used.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:23:49

And so you also referenced in CMS, in, effectively. And if we look at, enabling direct, which includes a version 2 5 1 and you have just 2 5 1 depending on what your connections are but if the and I know that this in the next slide but that the content is consistently defined so I can do a v 2 5 1 with or without direct depending on what infrastructure I

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:24:12

have. I've already achieved a lot of, consistency that makes it easy to manage, but completely agree with Michael.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:24:19

There are other things in flight. There are some working fire going on. It does pops up, help out, etc.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:24:26

We should be open to that and not limit ourselves. There's not a lot of traction there yet, but There's a lot of opportunity to, to explore that and it should not be, prohibited.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:24:37

Okay, I just wanna remind people about how, how we would define standards here. I'm not going to ask necessarily that this group defined what standard we will use, but I'm really listening to recommendations here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:24:50

And that's because as you recall in our 1st series of meetings, we talked about a process for advancing technical standards.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:24:56

Well, your comments today will go into that process and that we will spend Q 3 and Q 4 potentially this year debating what standards to use which might appear in new requirements next year.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:25:13

That would be applicable at the end of next year. So anything we're talking about here has about an 18 month runway before it becomes a requirement and we are talking about deliverations on exactly what the standards will be.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:25:29

Over the next several quarters, not just today, but I really, you know, any examples of standards that you folks have today, to feed into that process very interested in.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:25:42

Kathy, I saw your hand up and I saw it come back down. I want to give you space here if you had a comment you wanted to make.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:25:48

Yeah, I think the end part that you just clarified that, you know, the specifications won't really be put out to like, 18 months or further.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:25:56

And I was just calling out with the versions of H. 7, dot 2 dot 5 dot one is recommended in CMS, but there are organizations who are in different standards and to flip over would take.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:26:06

You know, a feat for some of those organizations. But I think you called that out that it won't go anytime soon.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:26:14

It'll be. No, further out.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:26:15

I and just to clarify that we would. I would say that the soonest that we could specify what those standards would be.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:26:25

Would be in the 1st quarter of 2025. But they, once they are specified, it is at least a hundred 80 days before they would become effective.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:26:35

So that gives people quite a bit of warning. And then it is quite a, it is some runway to become compliant there and that we can add more than that 180 days if that's what the recommendation is, and that we can add more than that we can add more than that 180 days if that's what the recommendation is to give people more runway.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:26:52

John, you've been very patient.

[John Helvey] 17:26:54

Yeah, I think just pointing out the way I read that question. Was, you know, technical standards for how events are sent to a notification service or intermediary and keeping in perspective specifying at least one standard but keeping options open.

[John Helvey] 17:27:10

Michael's point, and to Hans point. But establishing, you know, a standard when we're specifically talking about hospitals, I don't think that's a big lift.

[John Helvey] 17:27:21

Other than the versioning that Cassian put out, right? And the same thing for the technical standards about how notifications are, to be sent.

[John Helvey] 17:27:31

You know, direct secure message or, or however. How are the, you know, is there a standard?

[John Helvey] 17:27:38

That someone has the says that you know everybody should be able to do this again. Taking taking data from mobile, usable, making sure that our consumers can use the data.

[John Helvey] 17:27:51

Is that we, you know. People got to put it in a way that they can consume it and they're the customer.

[John Helvey] 17:27:57

So how do we get it in that way? I think the again leaving leaving the box open but establishing at least a minimum standard.

[John Helvey] 17:28:06

That has to be supported. So, whatever that might be if direct secure messaging is that or if there's another standard that people wanna put out there but That's how I read the question.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:28:19

Thank you. John. Joy, I see your hand up and then maybe we'll move on to the next question because I think it gives us a opportunity to continue this discussion.

[Joe Sullivan] 17:28:29

I can wait till the next question, if that's fine. All right, it'll just be quick, but, I think you kinda.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:28:31

No, please go ahead.

[Joe Sullivan] 17:28:36

Just the timeline you're giving is. Reason enough not to, you know, say that this is the standard.

[Joe Sullivan] 17:28:45

You know, the Take technologies moving. Faster than even Moore's law. So with an 18 month.

[Joe Sullivan] 17:28:55

Potential runway like with this could never be the You know, this is the only standard, right? This should be a minimum standard.

[Joe Sullivan] 17:29:03

And if technology evolves faster than that then at least there's a minimum standard, but Anyways, I just, I'm concerned about the 18 month, the time.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:29:16

Sure. Thank you, Joe. I also want to call out that there have been a couple of, comments in the QA.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:29:24

I see that Hans is perhaps even responding, with an answer to one of those Hans.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:29:31

If you feel like doing that verbally, feel free to, John, I saw your hand up for a minute there.

[John Helvey] 17:29:36

Yeah, I just, yeah, I just wanted to comment on what Joe was talking about. But, you know, and kind of what Cassie brought up is that even though that there are standards and technology is moving, sometimes technology is moving faster than organizations who are needing to comply with these.

[John Helvey] 17:29:56

Requirements aren't moving fast enough, right? So setting a an H. 7 V. 2 5 dot one standard I might seem like oh that should that should be the baseline, but when you get under the hood it's not and to go through that uplift.



[John Helvey] 17:30:13

All you're doing is forcing people out of out of compliance. So I think if we're going to set a standard.

[John Helvey] 17:30:20

That is going to be a minimum standard for anything. We've got to kind of survey the landscape and understand.

[John Helvey] 17:30:27

What it is that people can do right now. And how hard is it for them to get from point A to point B.

[John Helvey] 17:30:35

Because you've got some large ships that are in place and what they're doing is, You know, meeting the intent.

[John Helvey] 17:30:44

Of the DXF as it relates to USCDI 2 dot o. Through ADT messages or through other other means.

[John Helvey] 17:30:51

Then why should they be penalized on an on a standard of a version? Right? So, we have to be careful with that.

[John Helvey] 17:30:59

Otherwise, we're gonna have unintended consequences about drive continuing to drive cost and expenses for health systems and a time of crunch.

[John Helvey] 17:31:08

Financial crunch.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:31:09

Thanks, John. Hans, I did see that your hand went up.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:14

Yeah, you ask me, yeah, what I want to just to clarify what I was typing in.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:19

There was one question that, Ron to direct, so we're not permitting that.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:22

As a transport but that instead should be possible to have version 2. The nice part of the director notification approaches that it contains a version 2 message.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:36

And so, that allows you that flexibility. I, I recognize Michael's concerns with which version.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:42

And it happens to be a title to 2 5 1. And that always is a challenging question as to how to go about that.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:31:50

But the basic principle allows you to have the same structure and content inside a direct message. As outside if you don't use direct fish you don't need it.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:32:01

Great. Thanks, Hans. I wanted to go ahead and put up the next slide. The next question or set of questions was on content standards.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:32:10

We have been talking about transport, but we started speaking about content as well. And the consensus here was to require a specification on content.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:32:20

Whereas in the last one we said require one, but allow flexibility. The primary consensus here was to require specification on content.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:32:33

My questions are the same. Is this something that surprised people? Does any do any of the people that thought that we should provide only high level guidance, want to advocate for that.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:32:43

What are people's thoughts here?

[Michael Marchant] 17:32:49

I think it's, it's probably semantics, but I think we need a minimum necessary.

[Michael Marchant] 17:32:54

So, so when we look at this, you say v 2 5 and you look through the required fields and the message segments and all those sorts of things.

[Michael Marchant] 17:33:03

Can convey in the learning is that. A individual was seen at a particular organization. And again, depending on what that minimum necessary is, it could include the reason for ambition.

[Michael Marchant] 17:33:17

It could include a lot of other information. And part of, you know, requiring a spec is there's a minimum necessary and then there's other things that are say nice to have or good to have.

[Michael Marchant] 17:33:26

I know depending on the organization that's receiving the notification, there's more value with more information.

[Michael Marchant] 17:33:33

For versus if it's, you know, again, depending on the organization that that value may be minimized or to, depending on what you're going to do with it or if you can even consume an inbound ADT message.

[Michael Marchant] 17:33:45

Which some organization might not want to do because it might best with the MPI and their existing system so I think whether it's a specification or a minimum data set that needs to be included and HL 7 v 2 is the form and format.

[Michael Marchant] 17:33:59

And that could also drive some of this. I think that's where it gets into. We want to require respect for form and format and minimum data.

[Michael Marchant] 17:34:07

But I think the high level guidance is more around what other things could be included and what the value is to DXF or the network as opposed to the organizations that might be receiving the notification.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:34:18

Thank you, Michael.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:34:22

Yeah, I was one of the, high level guidance kind of in according to what's, mentioned earlier.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:34:29

I'm not narrowing down and that's where my head when I don't narrow down organizations just provide that hired level and then we'll find ways to meet it.

[Cassie-Ann Bush] 17:34:36

In the sense.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:34:39

Great. Thank you. John, you have your hand up.

[John Helvey] 17:34:43

Yeah, and again, I think that, you know, as we navigate this, I think it's good to understand what the landscape currently is.

[John Helvey] 17:34:50

Right, so when you're when you're talking about minimum qualifications, there are organizations that have registration systems that aren't tied to the clinical.

[John Helvey] 17:35:00

The clinical system and and they don't feed one another so getting alert notifications and what those specifications are need to make sure that they can come from the registration system because that's where things happen.

[John Helvey] 17:35:13

Right? But you're only gonna get a certain subset of those requirements. So understanding that's how organizations work and And we again, we don't wanna have unintended consequences about throwing somebody under the bus about saying you gotta beat this minimum standard without doing some discovery on that.

[John Helvey] 17:35:32

I think is it's gonna have, it's gonna be problematic and it's gonna stir the boat quite a bit.

[John Helvey] 17:35:39

So. Yes, I do agree that there should be some specificity and some basic standards, but again, from the perspective of giving providers.

[John Helvey] 17:35:49

The information that they need, as much information as they need, whether it's a behavioral health department.

[John Helvey] 17:35:57

And they're trying to meet Cal and ECQM measures and follow up and keep people out of emergency rooms or the same for provider practices, they need to know as much as they can about the patient.

[John Helvey] 17:36:08

As soon as they can so that their care teams can, can engage, especially post discharge to try to keep them out.

[John Helvey] 17:36:15

The more information we can get in ADT, the better. For that provider.

[John Helvey] 17:36:22

But again, not all systems are capable as. Full of his AET as what a provider might need.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:36:29

John since you made the last comment here I'm gonna ask you but I'm interested in anybody's thoughts here should the content of a notification be really limited to This event happened.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:36:46

Or and allow the recipient to request more information about the event or should that useful information be part of the notification.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:36:58

Where would you land on that?

[John Helvey] 17:37:00

I would, I mean, it's gonna be situational, of course, but. When you're looking at intermediaries or QHIOs or HIs, you want to be able to give them as much information as they can as you can in that AET as full as possible.

[John Helvey] 17:37:15

So that, you know, that's clinically relevant that right that's tied to an admin discharge transfer and has clinical or provider relevance.

[John Helvey] 17:37:28

That that they need to take action on or look into or how do they support that consumer? So I would say as full as possible.

[John Helvey] 17:37:37

To intermediaries but then it's gonna be you know who else are we talking about in this in sending notifications or receiving information from notifications.

[John Helvey] 17:37:50

I think it goes Michael's point. You know, there's other, there's other arena, there's other technologies that.

[John Helvey] 17:37:55

Are gonna be more suited for. Voluntary participants to the, to the data change framework. So.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:38:03

Great. Thank you. I have to say that I was going to call on Cassie and Michael or Ken to get your perspective on that.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:38:12

Your hand up so I'll call on you first.st

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:38:14

No, I'll keep it short. I think, there's a little bit of a conundrum, here on what's the right amount of information to send.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:38:22

Yeah. as much as possible according to whom to send there or the receiver so i think that's always gonna be a challenge so i think as much as that still might require some work having the ability that the, that the notification is as minimal as possible, but with the opportunity to ask for more where there is a need and where the minimum is still a good at the appropriate amount.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:38:48

Somewhere we need to find out the balancing. I don't think we can at this point in time prescribed exactly for each notification.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:38:56

How much is that? It will heavily depend on who is the sender, who is the receiver, how have they been engaged, etc.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:39:04

So I think we need to leave a fair amount of flexibility there to to learn and figure out what that is.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:39:07

But if we have the notion of going to an environment where you can send a notification with an opportunity to ask for more.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:39:16

I think we have a better opportunity to then start to balance out what's the right amount at the time of notification and what can be left reasonably to ask later.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:39:26

Great. Thank you. We have about 11 min left before we get to public comments. So I wanted to put this slide up.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:39:34

This is the last light in our discussion. Bye, still wanted to provide some room. If anybody had.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:39:42

Other thoughts about minimum necessary the amount of information in a content. I'm getting content while you're looking at this.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:39:50



The takeaway from this slide that I get is that there were some pretty strong opinions. But there was no consensus here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:39:59

And so take a look at this, draw your own conclusions, small sample, so it's tempting to really draw all kinds of conclusions that I'm going to try not to do.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:40:09

Michael, I see your hand up.

[Michael Marchant] 17:40:11

Yeah, I was just gonna circle back and make a comment on the. The notification. So part of I, I think, John's perspective and what Han said is they're both in line is part part of this is who's receiving the notification and what are they going to do with it once it's received and I think that that's where the QHIOs and the value add that they have and the

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:40:13

And that's fine, sure.

[Michael Marchant] 17:40:33

ability to maybe do more with that information than. You know an at risk provider who wants to know that their member or their patient has gone to another organization and want to get that notification kind of like we do today with direct and I think that's where it gets in what's the purpose of the notification?

[Michael Marchant] 17:40:50

To the D in the DXF world of what you're attempting to do. And part of that, to Hans point is I think that if we build the network correctly, the notification could be de minimis and then essentially you build a network in a way that if there's a additional information needed, there's a framework to do that.

[Michael Marchant] 17:41:09

And that's really the. You know, as you look at fire, it's I just need to know the who and the where and the technology and mechanism to come get more discrete data specific from an organization through a certified HR.

[Michael Marchant] 17:41:20

And again, I know I'm isolating some technologies or some participants who might not have a sort of ID HR, but.

[Michael Marchant] 17:41:24

In in that vein that gives the opportunity for them to come back and get that data. And I think that's where we get into the architecture conversation about the single search centralized service or a small number of collaborating intermediaries.

[Michael Marchant] 17:41:38

I think that part of what the solution looks like. On the back end is going to be driven by this particular decision because that flexibility.

[Michael Marchant] 17:41:46

And might not exist. And an architecture that wouldn't support it. And that's where you get into the what data should I include because I don't have that capability to come back and get more information, that I might not be providing the right service.

[Michael Marchant] 17:42:00

And again, that's kind of what John was saying as well. So.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:42:03

The one thing that I will point, thank you, Michael. The one thing that I will point out from this slide is that there were advocates for all 3 of these options and where we stand today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:42:13

At the bottom of this when any intermediary or technology that an organization chooses to use but the QHIO program is creating a small group of coordinating intermediaries.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:42:31

So They're kind of 2 lines in this for DXF is. But it is not at the top line today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:42:39

Hans, I see your hand up.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:42:41

Yeah, and in that context the question, when I looked at this, I was trying to figure out now how to really interpret this on the one hand is that there is no consensus I agree with that.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:42:51

But when we're looking at California and then we're looking at the United States and say well if a choice is made for the 1st one Single centralized, I still have a lot of cross state, communication going on.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:05

As people are traveling. or Kare is being given just on the other side of the border, etc.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:13

So you still end up effectively with 2 or 3. that, that needs to be addressed.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:20

So. Is do we really get that extra value out of trying to pursue a single central approach or is the reality that the federated approach within the state across borders.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:33

That's the reality that we have to operate in and therefore let's try to optimize for that.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:39

And then we, recognize that, if you have direct, I can use direct through a network.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:46

I can use direct direct. And there are methods when I truly know exactly who I'm gonna go to, why should I have both networked, depending on the context.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:43:54

So I think, that's part of the, of the, what I was looking at is that as much as that, the 1st one scores the highest.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:44:04

I'm not convinced that that's actually the most viable option in the reality that we live in that, at this point in time.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:44:12

Thanks, Hans. John, I see your hand up.

[John Helvey] 17:44:15

Yeah, and I, I will just add that I think that this needs much more exploration, much more exploration and we need to really identify unintended consequences, right?

[John Helvey] 17:44:28

Because when you go to a smaller number of collaborating, cooperating intermediaries, you don't have a single API.

[John Helvey] 17:44:37

You don't have somebody that's centralized. Everybody is doing their own EMPIe matching.

[John Helvey] 17:44:43

There's no kind of federated model. There's just there's multiple points to get information depending on where the patient was seen and.

[John Helvey] 17:44:53

And it gets more complicated. In that, a centralized model. Would definitely play into, I think, economies of scale, synergies of size.

[John Helvey] 17:45:08

Model that supports efficiency and effectiveness. Cause you know, many of us getting the ADT out.

[John Helvey] 17:45:16

Is not the issue. It's what do you do? The logic you build, the patient matching that you have around it.

[John Helvey] 17:45:23

That become the. The expense add-ons and exponential increase to managing that. And so that's I voted for, that should be the single, the approach.

[John Helvey] 17:45:38

As a 1st option just strictly due to economies of scale. And synergies of size.

[John Helvey] 17:45:45

Meeting and eat.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:45:47

Thanks. John.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:45:50

Are there any other thoughts?

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:45:54

Michael, I kind of thought that you kicked us off on we needed to talk about architecture in order to have some of these other discussions.

[Michael Marchant] 17:45:58

Yeah, I. Alright. Yeah, I have lots of comments. I just try not to be 1st on the trigger.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:46:01

I don't know if you have any comments in that regard.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:46:07

Okay.

[Michael Marchant] 17:46:08

So people are tired of hearing me talk, but I will jump in. So. So I think that here's where my head is is that The ability to.

[Michael Marchant] 17:46:17

Manage rosters. At an individual organizational level and to John's point about an MPI about having that be rationalized.

[Michael Marchant] 17:46:25

Across a federated architecture is very difficult. So the concept of having the roster being centralized.

[Michael Marchant] 17:46:33

And and being able to route off of some sort of centralized service so that there's a singular MPI and there's a singular process for me to look at and say this is where I send a notification for this patient whether it's 1 or many care team or an individual provider or an organization.

[Michael Marchant] 17:46:49

And that's centrally managed. Provides a level of safety and security and coordination and collaboration for the network that I think allows for these things to be correct.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:02

The problem is that doesn't exist and I get no that's not our problem. But it also I think has some folks have some concern about that.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:10

I think that the idea of leveraging the QHIOs or kind of a federated approach.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:17

Could work from an organizational standpoint to not one organization is bearing that entire burden, but it would require collaborating on the backside on that MPI so that they're they're working from the same sheet of music.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:28

Even if they're providing the service separately. I think that would also work, but that's where you get into the architecture of.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:34

I want a single singular roster. How that roster is managed and where it lives does not have to be a singular place.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:42

And that's where you have the nuance between kind of one and 2 of. That's where I think the sweet spot is and I don't know exactly, you know, how to recommend that.

[Michael Marchant] 17:47:52

But I think that's where I my head is. The last one is there are going to be organizations who want to do that themselves and and if there's a cost for implementing one or 2 or an additional cost.

[Michael Marchant] 17:48:06

And if there's a cost for implementing one or 2 or an additional cost, that's where you're going to have organizations go, Yeah, I'm gonna.

[Michael Marchant] 17:48:10

Unless it's no cost. And again, this is back to things that I don't control.

[Michael Marchant] 17:48:15

But if it's not at a cost and they can leverage that singular service again, whether that's singular roster, whether it's singular or federated.

[Michael Marchant] 17:48:24

Is needs to be an option if there's if there is a cost to one and 2 for organization.

[Michael Marchant] 17:48:30

So. That those are my comments. Take them as you wish.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:48:34

Thank you. Ken, I see your hand up.

[Ken Riomales] 17:48:37

Yeah, I agree with the comments that have been made so far as far as, requiring some additional evaluation of the proposals at hand.

[Ken Riomales] 17:48:45

There's so much more, this is multifaceted, very complex. To try to figure out all the working parts involved with.

[Ken Riomales] 17:48:52

Either a centralized repository or kind of having small silos, if you would. So I would advocate for some additional research to be done for something along those lines.

[Ken Riomales] 17:49:03

When you're talking about your standard information that can be shared across parties that's great but when you start getting into the more complex use cases such as SUD, sensitive information.



[Ken Riomales] 17:49:15

AB 3 52 is gonna come into play very soon. How is that going to be? To be affected by these.

[Ken Riomales] 17:49:20

Openly exchange the data sources. So I would advocate that we do have a little bit more research and not maybe rely on a conversation or a couple of meetings and say this is what we recommend.

[Ken Riomales] 17:49:31

And say this is what we recommend. I think it does require a little bit more conversation or a couple of meetings and say this is what we recommend.

[Ken Riomales] 17:49:34

I think it does require a little bit more conversation. The interoperability equivalent of an environmental study, so to speak.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:49:38

Well, and so that was actually going to be one of my questions is given that there isn't any consensus here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:49:46

And you know, some of the conversation notwithstanding because I think there were good recommendations there.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:49:54

But. I think that I guess my question to you is should we consider Continuing this conversation.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:50:06

For another meeting of the task as part of this series. Or do you feel that We are not at a place to have this conversation yet and we should revisit this in January.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:50:18

What? I kind of feel like we're. At a place where are we going to try to make a recommendation for CDII to try to act upon.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:50:29

Around architecture or are there merely some thoughts to consider? And we want to, visit this again later or.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:50:38

Are we just not done with this conversation after today?

[Ken Riomales] 17:50:42

I would have a simple question of in the absence of a consensus and a uniform recommendation, what's our recourse?

[Ken Riomales] 17:50:49

Does that mean that no recommendation is pushed forth to CDI or is it something where the highest score wins?

[Ken Riomales] 17:50:59

What would be our recourse in that case if we don't have a true consensus?

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:51:03

And I can't really necessarily speak to that. what I was hoping is that the task would come up with recommendations where they made sense.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:51:15

And that those be passed on and CDI would consider those along with the other advisory bodies that CDI has.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:51:23

And some of those would come to be and some of them wouldn't. It doesn't mean that this won't advance But it might be that CDI takes out of a lack of recommendation here that it is not yet

time to advance this and it should be revisited later or that we need an environmental scan to better understand this or something.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:51:45

I, I'm not saying that we have failed if there's the recommendation here. There may not be an appropriate recommendation today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:51:52

Michael, I did see your hand pop up.

[Michael Marchant] 17:51:55

I think the recommendation, at least that I would make, is that for organizations that want admit notifications.

[Michael Marchant] 17:52:02

That there is a singular registry or roster that they can subscribe to or prescribe to or put their patients in or whatever whatever you want to call it.

[Michael Marchant] 17:52:11

So that that that isn't in place that can be managed. And again, whether that's the one or 2, whether it's a single service or it's managed by.

[Michael Marchant] 17:52:20

Collaborating organizations. I think the concept of being able to have a longitudinal patient singular longitudinal patient record with a hundred organizations managing individual notification rosters in a federated way is not going to happen in a meaningful way.

[Michael Marchant] 17:52:36

So, so from that standpoint, I would say that there is only one right recommendation that would work. And that is working off a roster, again, a singular notification roster, whether that is a 1 organization or multiple organizations managing that roster together.

[Michael Marchant] 17:52:53

That allows organizations that send the notifications to Access it, leverage it, ping it, send the notifications there, whatever that might be.

[Michael Marchant] 17:53:02

But, if we try to do everybody managing their own rosters, it's not gonna work.

[Michael Marchant] 17:53:08

So. From from that standpoint, I think if we want this to be successful, that would be my suggestion as a recommendation.

[Michael Marchant] 17:53:14

Again, not the specific architecture of where the roster lives, but the general concept that there is one notification roster that's leveraged by this DXF.

[Michael Marchant] 17:53:22

That allows anyone to access it and then know where to notify on a singular MPI.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:53:27

Thank you. Michael, Hans, I see your hand up and then we'll probably move to public comment.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:53:33

Yeah, I think it's a challenging question that definitely needs more discussion because there are different aspects of it and perhaps the answer for one is more centralization and for another one it can be, highly federated and we're not quite clear yet in this conversation that we landed on the same set of what are these modules that we that we look at.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:53:55

And if we also look at, attribution lists, clearly attribution lists have their, their challenge.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:54:02

To maintain and the example we used before as well, somebody in, in, Southern California, where their patient might travel to Northern California.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:54:12

How am I going to maintain an execution list across all the potential left parties they're going to be at?

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:54:18

So you take those complexities as well. Not clear that we have a an approach that is that is sufficiently defined to say yes that can work or know that cannot work.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:54:31

I'm more looking at the realities that how networks have evolved and grass is that they typically end up being federated for a good period of time and then we find out that yes some aspects need to be centralized but not necessarily everything.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:54:51

I don't know where the boundary is it is at this point so that's why I would suggest is yes we need more discussion whether it's not a meeting or when it's January.

[Hans Buitendijk] 17:54:59

I don't think we can resolve this that quickly as a meeting.

[John Helvey] 17:55:03

I agree with, and Hans.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:55:03

Great. Thank you, John. Thank you, Hans. Alice, let's go ahead and move us to public comment.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:55:11

I know I ran over at a little time, but, hopefully the public will bear with me there.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:55:16

Thank you, R. Members of the public must raise their hand and zoom facilitators will unmute each member of the public for them to share comments.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:55:25

The chair will call on individuals in the order in which their hands were raised. Individuals will be recognized for up to 2 min and are asked to state their name and organizational affiliation at the start of their remarks.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:55:36

If you logged in via Zoom interface, you can press raise hand at the bottom of your screen if selected to share your comment, you will receive a request to unmute.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:55:45

Please ensure you accept before speaking. And if you called in via phone only press star 9 on your phone to raise your hand, listen for your phone number to be called by the moderator.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:55:56

If selected to share your comment, please ensure you're unmuted on your phone by pressing star 6.

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:56:01

And it looks like we have one hand raised at this time. Lucy, you should that be able to unmute.

[Lucy Johns] 17:56:09

Thank you. You can hear me.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:56:12

Yes.

[Lucy Johns] 17:56:14

Thank you all. I want to go back to slide number 10. Referring to the discussion by that gentleman from wherever he was, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma.

[Lucy Johns] 17:56:28

About sending notifications. By zip code. I find this makes my teeth chatter as an urban resident.

[Lucy Johns] 17:56:40

The idea that. I experience an event. And. Providers all around my zip code, of which there are many.

[Lucy Johns] 17:56:53

Would be notified of this. They've never heard of me. They're never going to hear of me.

[Lucy Johns] 17:56:58

And I just cannot fathom. That this would be secure or acceptable. To consumers. In an urban environment.

[Lucy Johns] 17:57:12

I cannot comment, comment for rural California. But this technology, as I understood it by the presenter, really does reflect realities in rural America.

[Lucy Johns] 17:57:28

And that may have application in California. But for an awful lot of consumers here. It doesn't have any.

[Lucy Johns] 17:57:37

Any meaning and I hope that DXF will never land on this even as a recommendation. Not to mention mandate.

[Lucy Johns] 17:57:50

Thank you.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:57:51

Thank you, Lucy.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:57:56

Alice, do we have any other hands raised?

[Alice K - Manatt Events] 17:57:58

No other hands raised at this time.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:58:01

People just a few more seconds here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:58:17

Well, if there are no other public comments, I think I have 2 min to wrap us up here.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:58:22

The goal of today was to, Come to conclusions on recommendations that we would pass on to CDI.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:58:32

One of the things that Cindy and I talked about and I'm gonna call an audible here and say that you will probably see is that we might send out the same survey that you saw last week.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:58:43

Again, to folks today. Or, excuse me, in the next couple of days to see if any of your opinions changed based on today's discussions.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:58:52

I think that today's discussion was really useful, but there were also members of the task that did not weigh into that other survey so you might see that coming.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:59:03



I did hear pretty strongly that we needed to talk about architecture further. We might add another question to that survey about whether you want to have that discussion.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:59:13

Is part of a task meeting now or have it in January so that we can figure out how we need to move things forward.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:59:20

The next item on our agenda and so our next plan is that we would take the rest of June off and July off and we would begin meeting again in August.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:59:30

On social services. So we might slip one more meeting in if people really call for it on ADT before we let this one go.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:59:40

I will pause there. I think we have maybe 30 seconds left in case there any other comments or any other questions, anything good for the order.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 17:59:57

If not, well thank you everyone for another robust discussion. I really appreciate everybody weighing in today.

[Rim Cothren, CDII CalHHS] 18:00:06

A very good discussion and we'll talk to you all again soon. Thank you