California Health & Human Services Agency
Center for Data Insights and Innovation
Data Exchange Framework Technical Advisory Subcommittee (TASC) Meeting
Chat Log (12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT, April 24, 2025)

The following comments were made in the Zoom chat log by Members of the TASC and staff during the April 24, 2025, meeting:

15:16:22 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
We'll need to be sure that "what we heard" includes "hairball" next meeting.

15:16:49 From Mary-Sara Gordon Jones to Everyone:
Question is Who is sharing WHAT data with WHOM and WHY.

15:21:46 From Hans Buitendijk to Everyone:
+1 on Michael's comments

15:24:14 From Corey Smith to Everyone:
Agree completely with identifying priority use cases - e.g . Screening and assessment

15:25:38 From Lee Tien to Everyone:
But for those use cases, the digging has to include the legal picture for each of the actors
(Question is Who is sharing WHAT data with WHOM and WHY.)

15:25:51 From Gabriel Cate to Everyone:
Yes, tracking a few workflows end-to-end will help us identify common needs for the framework to support, without boiling the ocean.

15:27:32 From Corey Smith to Everyone:
I think focusing on the use cases (define problems and requirements) first. When we start to solution then we can do a gap assessment relative to current capabilities.

15:29:34 From Aparna Ramesh to Everyone:
I do think even brainstorming (blue sky) possible use cases would be lovely— try to capture some of the possibilities. Maybe following the life course of a Californian as an organizing principle. And with that I unfortunately have to another commitment— but look forward to continuing the conversation!

15:30:57 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
@Julie: Do you want to talk about those use cases?

15:31:02 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
DHCS has developed use cases, in partnership with Manatt, about ASMCI and data sharing - perhaps those can be reviewed and possibly brought forward in this context

15:31:37 From Corey Smith to Everyone:
Gravity also has some framework use cases that might be helpful to organizing and framing use cases. In no way suggesting the Gravity use cases are sufficient to capture what needs to be done specifically in CA.

15:31:45 From Mary-Sara Gordon Jones to Everyone:
Each of the counties modeled built their solutions based on specific use cases, should we start with looking at the use cases live in production?

15:35:08 From Lee Tien to Everyone:
I would hope that each use case includes at least a list of the laws that each use case invokes or touches for each entity involved.

15:36:18 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
Yes, Lee, they do the analysis law by law for each use case

15:36:23 From Lee Tien to Everyone:
(Oh, I haven't seen those things that Julie talked about — where would I find those legal analyses?)

15:36:43 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
They were open for public comment in November 2024, I cannot say where they are located at this opint

15:36:48 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
*point

15:37:17 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
We'll follow up at CDII.

15:37:20 From James Shalaby to Everyone:
I would suggest the following structure for organizing ways to prioritize and harmonize (Hans called it a "triangle" of parallel efforts):
A. Identifying high level contexts that are priority (example, workflows such as closed loop referral, assessments for social needs, etc)

B. Use cases within the context of (A)

C. Capabilities of actors/stakelholders within the context of (A) and (B)

15:37:59 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
Here is what is available from DHCS

15:38:00 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/dataandstats/Pages/Data-Sharing-Authorization-Guidance-Medi-Cal-Housing-Support-Services-and-Reentry-Initiative-Toolkits.aspx

15:38:21 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
The two I spoke about - reentry and housing, are both there publicly

15:39:26 From Lee Tien to Everyone:
Thanks, Julie!

15:40:23 From Mary-Sara Gordon Jones to Everyone:

Can we define use cases? I am seeing the term used to refer to cohorts and used to refer to activities.

15:41:20 From Alana Kalinowski, she/they, CIE/211 SD to Everyone:
@mary-sara - I always think of use cases as the intersection of those two

15:42:26 From Mary-Sara Gordon Jones to Everyone:
@Eric - Please look at Data Translation, does that address the mapping need?

15:48:35 From Eric Jahn to Everyone:
Agreed with Julie: outcomes/impacts is missing. Some might find this framework useful: https://commonimpact.org/

15:48:43 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
Thanks, Michael. I think that is part of the long term vision for Event Notifications in the Roadmap. But that is a long way off, perhaps.

15:48:50 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
Thanks, Eric.

15:50:34 From Lee Tien to Everyone:
Some things have discrete outcomes, but if you're controlling a long-term chronic condition, it seems different.

15:55:25 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
For everyone: The paper Dan referenced can be found at https://www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/accelerating-exchange-health-and-human-services-data-improve-outcomes-and-disrupt.

15:56:55 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
Dan Chavez included the link to the bill here: https://www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/accelerating-exchange-health-and-human-services-data-improve-outcomes-and-disrupt

15:57:05 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
SB 660

15:57:55 From Rim Cothren, CalHHS CDII to Everyone:
dxf@chhs.ca.gov

15:59:08 From Julie Silas to Everyone:
More from Dan:
https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202520260SB660