



California Health & Human Services Agency Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework Technical Advisory Subcommittee Meeting Public Comment Log (12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT, April 24, 2025)

The table below shows public comments that were made verbally during the April 24, 2025, TASC meeting. Additional public comments can be found in the meeting's "Q&A Log" posted on the CalHHS Data Exchange Framework webpage.

| Count | Name | Comment |
|-------|-------------|---|
| 1 | Dan Chavez | Two comments. I would ask you all to look at the changes that have been made to SB 660 which is current proposed legislation. These changes have just recently been published and the changes, at least from my cursory review, is the removal of references to social services information. Now, I haven't had the time to dig down deep as to why these things were removed. But it seems to me it's very relevant to the conversation at hand and would help guide the conversation you all are having. So I would ask you to do that. It seems to me that these references were removed out of deference to counties and the current federal environment. That's upon a cursory review. But I really do believe it shapes a lot of the conversation going forward. Secondly, I would ask that you all read the February 22nd, 2024 health affairs article authored by Marco Mijic and Mickey Tripathi on accelerating the exchange of health and human services data to improve outcomes, disrupt inequities. As you might expect, even one of the authors has got a very California bias. But I think it provides a framework that will assist in the conversation. Thank you. |
| 2 | Katie Weber | Hi, Katie Weber, Pop Health Solutions. I think some comments are made around assessments. I just wanted to highlight that, how important that is, especially around social service data, especially for the use cases within the granular level and what data can be shared. I know we're looking at that right now. Because if you have depression screeners inside there |





| Count | Name | Comment |
|-------|-------------------|---|
| Count | Name | Comment |
| | | identifying SDOH, etc., what information within those assessments that can be shared, but also what consent is needed to be shared the different types of data captured inside these assessments as it relates to the DXF, because I know that some of the questions we're grappling with right now. And also, as it relates to that minimum data set. So I just wanted to comment on that. Thank you. |
| 3 | Chris Wiseman | Hi, Chris Wiseman. I'm with the Sacramento County Office of Compliance attached to our SHIE and I just want to thank you for an excellent discussion. A lot of great suggestions and food for thought for me personally, brand new to this group, and I really appreciate all the wonderful insights and I look forward to attending many more of these meetings. So thank you. |
| 4 | Brian Handspicker | Hi, this is Brian Handspicker. I'm co-chair of HL7's Human and Social Services Working Group and chair of the direct trust information exchange for human services, consensus body standards development bodies, and I just wanted to add in that within ICS as we call the Information Exchange for Human Services mouthful, we have a subgroup that's working specifically on the definition of use cases and requirements for social services interoperability standards with the intention of hopefully being able to extend the standards that we already have over in healthcare for FHIR and within gravity for the intersection between healthcare and social care to enable us to have a set of standards for social care to social care interoperability. So I'd like to invite anybody that's interested to come join us there and help define these requirements, which I hope that we will then end up working on in collaboration with our brethren over in HL7 as well as other various working groups that are interested in the whole area of health-related social needs. |

Total Count of public comments: 4