



Data Exchange Framework

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting #2D: Consent Management

Thursday, July 10, 2025

12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT



Members are strongly encouraged to **enable their video** to foster increased interaction and discussion.



The Vision for Data Exchange in California

Once implemented across California, the Data Exchange Framework (DxF) will create new connections and efficiencies between health and social services providers, improving whole-person care.

The DxF is California's first-ever statewide Data Sharing Agreement (DSA) that requires the secure and appropriate exchange of health and human services information to enable providers to work together and improve an individual's health and wellbeing.



Agenda



12:00 PM
Welcome & Roll Call



12:10 PM
Discussion Item: What We Heard Last Meeting



12:20 PM
Discussion Item: Incremental Design for Consent Management Model



12:50 PM
Public Comment



12:55 PM
Next Steps and Closing Remarks

Consent Management TAC Members



Members are strongly encouraged to **enable their video** to foster increased interaction and discussion.

Name	Organization
Rim Cothren (Chair)	Center for Data Insights and Innovation
Cindy Bero	Manatt Health Strategies
Elison Alcovendaz	California Department of Healthcare Services
Irene Lintag Alvarez,	Aliados Health
Hans J Buitendijk	EHRA
Linda Deaktor	MedPOINT Management
Adam Davis	Sutter Health
Diane Dooley	American Academy of Pediatrics California
Kayte Fisher	California Department of Insurance
Robby Franceschini	Blue Shield of California
Eric Jahn	Bitfocus, Inc
Jeff Jarrett	Pacific Partners Management Services Inc.
Alana G Kalinowski	San Diego 211/CIE
David McCann	United Ways of California 211 DxF Collaborative

Name	Organization
Eric Nielson	California Welfare Director's Association (CWDA)
Derek Plansky	Health Gorilla
Ken Riomales	CalMHSA
Sara Rogers	California Department of Social Services
Jessica Rougeux	California Department of Social Services
Shannon Rohall	California Department of Social Services
Linette Scott	California Department of Healthcare Services
James Shalaby	Elimu Informatics, Inc
Ambrish Sharma	Interface Children & Family Services
Julie Silas	Homebase
Debbie Spray	Alameda Alliance for Health
Joe Sullivan	Emergency Service Medical Authority
Dr. Brian Thomas	Alameda Alliance for Health
Rita Torkzadeh	Kaiser Permanente
Jennifer Womack	California Department of Public Health

Public Comment Opportunities

Public comment will be taken during the meeting at the approximate time listed on the agenda and limited to the total amount of time allocated for public comment.

Members of the public may also use the Zoom's Q&A feature to ask questions or make comments during the meeting, or can email their questions or comments to DxF@chhs.ca.gov.

Consent Management TAC Series Objective

The objective of this meeting series is to develop recommendations for coordinating collection, sharing, and use of an individual's consent, ensuring it is applied consistently when exchanging HSSI under the DxF.

What We Heard Last Meeting

- Individuals should have a simple place to view, manage, and update or revoke their consents, even if the consents reside in multiple locations.
- Multiple consent types are necessary, each tailored to specific services, populations, or data types (i.e., behavioral health, abortion services, homelessness).
- It is important to start with existing, operational consent models and build incrementally from what is already implemented in the field.
- Consent frameworks must account for both structured and unstructured data, especially in behavioral health, where sensitive information is often embedded in narrative notes.
- Consent design should consider the maturity of data standards in each domain, prioritizing domains with more established models for initial implementation.

Questions for Today

In our previous discussion, we heard the need for the following guiding **model for consent management under DxF**:

Consent management under DxF must be able to collect multiple kinds of consent, collected in multiple places, applied everywhere, and managed simply by the consumer.

*We'd like to gauge how each of these components could be operationalized—your input through the **upcoming poll questions** will help us do that.*

Poll: Collecting Multiple Kinds of Consent

Acknowledging that applicable law has different consent requirements for different types of data and/or disclosing entities, how should DxF approach collecting multiple types of consent?

1

Everyone is free to use their own consent forms and language, mapped to a common set of computable consent rules

2

I don't know/
something else

3

Everyone uses the same unified consent form with multiple choices for different data types and situations

Poll: Collected in Multiple Places

Do you agree that consent should continue to be collected by multiple entities—such as providers, plans, and care coordinators—or should there be a centralized place where all consent is acquired?

1

Consent may be collected **anywhere** care is being delivered

2

Consent may be collected **anywhere as long as it follows an approved process** (includes plans, care giver, BH org, etc.)

3

Consent must be collected in **one place or by one authority**

Poll: Applied Everywhere

How is consent shared so that entities can apply consent when exchanging data?

1

All consents are stored in a **single repository** that disclosing entities must check

2

Consents are stored in **multiple repositories** that disclosing entities must check

3

Consent is sent by the **requesting party** to the disclosing party at the time of exchange

4

Consent is required by **policy and assumed** implicitly in a request to a disclosing entity

Poll: Managed Simply by the Consumer

How should an individual access and manage their consent(s)?

1

All consents are **stored in a single repository** that an individual can access to manage them

2

The individual has access to a **shared directory** or registry to locate where consent is stored, **but manages it in multiple locations**

3

Each consent storage location queries other consent storage locations directly to find and retrieve consent as needed so that the **individual manages consent in one location** but it remains stored in many

4

Individuals manage their consent with **potentially multiple individual entities** where they established consent

Public Comment

Next Steps

CDII will:

- Post meeting materials and recording to the DxF webpage.
- Document the discussions and recommendations of the TAC and consider next actions.

Members will:

- Consider joining in the next TAC focus group on Event Notification Architecture by using the public meeting link available on the [DxF webpage](#).

Upcoming Meetings

Tune in to the next DxF TAC Focus Group Series, focused on Event Notification Architecture

Event Notification Architecture TAC Focus Group	Date
Meeting #3A	Thursday, August 7, 2025, 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT
Meeting #3B	Thursday, August 21, 2025, 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT
Meeting #3C	Thursday, September 4, 2025, 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT
Meeting #3D	Thursday, September 18, 2025, 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT

Note: Meeting dates for the Event Notification Architecture TAC Focus Group have shifted one week from their originally scheduled start date. Information on how to join these meetings using the public meeting link is available on the [DxF webpage](#).