From: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH
To: Dickey, Larry
Subject: RE: CPHS Meeting Preparation
Date: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 1:41:40 PM
Attachments: IPP_LOC_consent_script.docx

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Thanks – here is the amended file for distribution.

-Nerissa

From: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 12:18 PM
To: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: CPHS Meeting Preparation

See suggested change in red below.

If the participant answers your call, follow the script below.

In December 2025, you took part in a Biomonitoring California study for which we collected blood samples. Do you remember being part of this study? You opted to receive any results from this study, and we will be sending all your results in the future.

I am calling you today because of one of your metals results that is ready now. Whenever a participant in a biomonitoring study has an elevated result for arsenic, cadmium, lead, or mercury, we would like to reach out and offer guidance about how they may have been exposed, and how they can reduce exposures in the future. This involves a short exposure assessment survey that we can do now, or we can schedule a time in the future that works for you. This is a completely voluntary survey that will take about 20 minutes. Whether or not you want to take part in this survey, you will still get all your individual biomonitoring results when they are ready.

If you would like to participate in this follow-up process, I will need your verbal consent. Do you agree to taking this exposure survey?

No [Thank the participant and remind them that they will receive all their results when they are ready. You can also provide this link for more information: Levels of concern (LOCs) and participant follow-up | Biomonitoring California and your phone number in case they have questions or change their mind.]

Yes [Administer the relevant exposure survey.]

Larry Dickey, MD, MPH
Associate Adjunct Professor
Department of Family and Community Medicine
University of California, San Francisco

From: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 11:56 AM
To: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Cc: Rykaczewska, Agnieszka@OTSI <Agnieszka.Rykaczewska@otsi.ca.gov>; Hess, Catherine@CDPH <Catherine.Hess@cdph.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: CPHS Meeting Preparation

I wonder if asking CPHS to consider if we could be considered public health surveillance would fulfill that requirement.

In any case, for Plan B, here's a script we would use to ask for additional consent from our three participants. Please let me know if you have any comments or suggestions. I'll plan to refer to it in my remarks on Friday.

Thanks again –

Nerissa

From: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 10:54 AM
To: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Cc: Rykaczewska, Agnieszka@OTSI <Agnieszka.Rykaczewska@otsi.ca.gov>; Hess, Catherine@CDPH <Catherine.Hess@cdph.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: CPHS Meeting Preparation

Unfortunately, the underlined section in the statute presents a problem in determining the biomonitoring program to be public health surveillance that is not subject to CPHS review:

105443. (a) All participants shall be evaluated for the presence of designated chemicals as a component of the biomonitoring process. Participants shall be provided with information and fact sheets about the program's activities and its findings. Individual participants may request and shall receive their complete results. Any results provided to participants shall be subject to the Institutional Review Board protocols and guidelines. When either physiological or chemical data obtained from a participant indicate a significant known health risk, program staff experienced in communicating biomonitoring results shall consult with the individual and recommend follow up steps, as appropriate. Program administrators shall receive training in

administering the program in an ethical, culturally sensitive, participatory, and community-based manner.

This wording probably trumps the Common Rule, which would probably consider this public health surveillance. We can still talk about this at the meeting.

Larry Dickey, MD, MPH
Associate Adjunct Professor
Department of Family and Community Medicine
University of California, San Francisco

From: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 10:30 AM
To: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Subject: RE: CPHS Meeting Preparation

Thanks for all of your help with this protocol.

I've attached the Informed Consent that was signed by participants in December 2025 before samples were drawn along with the bill creating Biomonitoring California. I will work on a consent script and have that to you by this afternoon.

Thank you!

-Nerissa

From: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2026 11:13 AM
To: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: CPHS Meeting Preparation

Any of those times work for me at this point.

Larry Dickey, MD, MPH
Associate Adjunct Professor
Department of Family and Community Medicine
University of California, San Francisco

From: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2026 10:58 AM
To: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Subject: RE: CPHS Meeting Preparation

Thanks, Dr. Dickey – that would be helpful.

Tomorrow I am free in the morning or at 4 pm. Wednesday, I am available after 3:30 pm.

Do any of those times work for you?

-Nerissa

From: Dickey, Larry <Larry.Dickey@ucsf.edu>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2026 10:18 AM
To: Wu, Nerissa@CDPH <Nerissa.Wu@cdph.ca.gov>
Subject: CPHS Meeting Preparation

Hi Dr. Wu,

Can we schedule a time to talk in the next couple of days in anticipation of your amendment being heard at the CPHS meeting on Friday. Please suggest some times.

Larry Dickey, MD, MPH
Associate Adjunct Professor
Department of Family and Community Medicine
University of California, San Francisco